arXiv:1210.7063v1 [math.AP] 26 Oct 2012

HEAT KERNEL ESTIMATES FOR CRITICAL FRACTIONAL DIFFUSION OPERATOR

LONGJIE XIE AND XICHENG ZHANG

Abstract. In this work we construct the heat kernel of the $\frac{1}{2}$-order Laplacian perturbed by the first-order gradient term in Hölder space and the zero-order potential term in generalized Kato's class, and obtain sharp two-sided estimates as well as the gradient estimate of the heat kernel.

1. Introduction and Main Result

For $\alpha \in (0,2)$, let $\Delta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ be the fractional Laplacian defined by

$$\Delta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} f(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{|y| \geqslant \varepsilon} \frac{f(x+y) - f(x)}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \mathrm{d}y.$$

It is well-known that the heat kernel $\rho^{(\alpha)}(t,x)$ of $\Delta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ has the following estimate (e.g. see [9, 8]):

$$\rho^{(\alpha)}(t,x) \asymp \frac{t}{(|x| \vee t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})^{d+\alpha}}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\asymp$ means that both sides are comparable up to some positive constants.

In [3], Bogdan and Jakubowski studied the following perturbation of $\Delta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ by gradient operator:

$$\mathscr{L}^{(\alpha)}_b(x) := \Delta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + b(x) \cdot \nabla, \quad \alpha \in (1,2),$$

where b belongs to Kato's class $\mathscr{K}^{\alpha-1}_d$, i.e.,

$$b \in \mathscr{K}^{\alpha-1}_d := \left\{ f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|x-y| \leqslant \varepsilon} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{d+1-\alpha}} \mathrm{d}y = 0 \right\}.$$

Notice that by Hölder's inequality, $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathscr{K}^{\alpha-1}_d$ provided $p > \frac{d}{\alpha-1}$. The sharp two-sided heat kernel estimates of $\mathscr{L}^{(\alpha)}_b$ like (1.1) were obtained therein. The reason of limiting $\alpha \in (1,2)$ lies in that the heat kernel $p^{(\alpha)}_1(t,x) = \rho^{(\alpha)}(t, x+t)$ of $\mathscr{L}^{(\alpha)}_1$ is not comparable with $\rho^{(\alpha)}(t,x)$ for $\alpha \in (0,1)$ (see [3]). In [12], Jakubowski and Szczypkowski considered the time-dependent perturbation of $\Delta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$. In [6], Chen, Kim and Song obtained sharp two-sided estimates for the Dirichlet heat kernel of $\mathscr{L}^{(\alpha)}_b$. Moreover, the Dirichlet heat kernel estimates for nonlocal operators under Feynman-Kac or Schördinger type perturbations were also considered in [7]. Recently, in [20], Wang and the second named author extended Bogdan and Jakubowski's results to the more general subordinated stable operator over Riemannian manifold and obtained sharp two-sided estimates as well as the gradient estimate.

However, in the critical case of $\alpha = 1$, the heat kernel estimate of $\mathscr{L}^{(1)}_b$ is left open. It is noticed that the critical case has particular interest in physics and mathematics (cf. [5, 14, 13, 17, 18]) and references therein. We first recall some related results. In [17] and [18], Silvestre established the Hölder regularity to the critical parabolic operator $\mathscr{L}^{(1)}_b(x)$ with bounded measurable b. In [16], Priola proved the pathwise uniqueness of SDEs with Hölder's drifts and driven by Cauchy processes. In [23], the well-posedness of multidimensional critical Burgers' equation was obtained (see [13] for the study of one dimensional critical Burgers' equations).

Keywords: Heat kernel estimate, gradient estimate, critical diffusion operator, Levi's method.

In this paper we consider the following critical fractional diffusion operator

$$\mathscr{L}(t,x) := \mathscr{L}_{a,b,c}(t,x) := a(t,x)\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} + b(t,x)\cdot\nabla + c(t,x),$$

where $a, c : [0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ and $b : [0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ are three measurable functions. We shall prove the following result in the present work.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that for some* $a_0, a_1 > 0$,

$$a_0 \leqslant a(t,x) \leqslant a_1,$$

and for some $\beta \in (0,1)$,

$$a, b \in \mathbb{H}^\beta, \quad c \in \mathbb{K}^1_d,$$

where $\mathbb{H}^\beta$ *(resp.* $\mathbb{K}^1_d$*) is the Hölder space (resp. the generalized Kato's class) defined in Definition 2.1. Then there exists a continuous function* $p(t,x;s,y)$ *such that:*

(i) (C-K equation) For all $0 \leqslant s < r < t$ *and* $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$*, the following Chapman-Kolmogorov's equation holds:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t,x;r,z)p(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z = p(t,x;s,y). \tag{1.2}$$

(ii) (Generator) For any bounded continuous function f*, we have*

$$\lim_{t\downarrow s} P_{t,s}f(x) := \lim_{t\downarrow s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t,x;s,y)f(y)\mathrm{d}y = f(x), \tag{1.3}$$

and if $a, b, c \in C([0,\infty); L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d))$*, then for all* $f, g \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\lim_{t\downarrow s}\frac{1}{t-s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x)\Big(P_{t,s}f(x) - f(x)\Big)\mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x)\mathscr{L}(s,x)f(x)\mathrm{d}x, \quad s \geqslant 0. \tag{1.4}$$

(iii) (Two-sided estimates) For any $T > 0$*, there exist constants* $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 > 0$ *such that for all* $0 \leqslant s < t \leqslant T$ *and* $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$|p(t,x;s,y)| \leqslant \kappa_1(t-s)(|x-y| + (t-s))^{-d-1}, \tag{1.5}$$

and in the case of $a(t,x) = a(t)$ *independent of* x,

$$p(t,x;s,y) \geqslant \kappa_2(t-s)(|x-y| + (t-s))^{-d-1}. \tag{1.6}$$

(iv) (Hölder's estimate) Assume that $c \in \mathbb{K}^{1-\gamma}_d$ *for some* $\gamma \in (0,1)$*. Then for any* $T > 0$*, there exists a constant* $\kappa_3 > 0$ *such that for all* $0 \leqslant s < t \leqslant T$ *and* $x, x', y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} |p(t,x;s,y) - p(t,x';s,y)| &\leqslant \kappa_3(|x-x'|^\gamma \wedge 1)|t-s|^{1-\gamma} \\ &\quad \times \Big\{(|x-y| + (t-s))^{-d-1} + (|x'-y| + (t-s))^{-d-1}\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

(v) (Gradient estimate) If we further assume that $c \in \mathbb{H}^{\beta'}$ *for some* $\beta' \in (0,1)$*, then for any* $T > 0$*, there exists a constant* $\kappa_4 > 0$ *such that for all* $0 \leqslant s < t \leqslant T$ *and* $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$|\nabla_x p(t,x;s,y)| \leqslant \kappa_4(|x-y| + (t-s))^{-d-1}. \tag{1.8}$$

In order to prove this theorem, we shall use Levi's method of freezing coefficients and Duhamel's formula. Compared with the classical case of second order parabolic equations, the main difficulty of proving this theorem lies in the heavy tail property of Poisson's kernel and the nonlocal property of $\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We mention that in the case of second order parabolic equation, the following property of Gaussian heat kernel plays a key role in the construction of Levi's argument (cf. [10, 15]): for $\beta \in (0,1)$ and some $C > 0$,

$$t^{-1}|x|^\beta \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{|x|^2}{t}} \leqslant t^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{|x|^2}{Ct}}, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

This means that the spatial Hölder regularity can compensate the time singularity. However, such type estimate does not hold for Poisson's kernel in view of the heavy tail property. A suitable substitution is an analogue of the so called 3P-inequality (see Lemma 2.3 below).

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we prepare some lemmas for later use. In Section 3, by using Levi's method of constructing the fundamental solutions, we first construct the heat kernel of $\mathscr{L}_{a,b} = \mathscr{L}_{a,b,0}$. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.1 by using Duhamel's formula.

We conclude this section by introducing the following conventions: The letter C with or without subscripts will denote a positive constant, whose value is not important and may change in different places. We write $f(x) \preceq g(x)$ to mean that there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that $f(x) \leqslant C_0 g(x)$; and $f(x) \asymp g(x)$ to mean that there exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that $C_1 g(x) \leqslant f(x) \leqslant C_2 g(x)$.

2. Preliminaries

For $\gamma, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, we introduce the following function on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$ for later use:

$$\varrho^{\beta}_{\gamma}(t,x) := t^{\gamma}\{|x|^{\beta} \wedge 1\}(|x|^2 + t^2)^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} \asymp t^{\gamma}\{|x|^{\beta} \wedge 1\}(|x| + t)^{-d-1}. \tag{2.1}$$

By simple calculations, there exists a constant $C_d > 0$ such that for all $\beta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho^{\beta}_{\gamma}(t,x)\mathrm{d}x \leqslant C_d t^{\gamma+\beta-1}. \tag{2.2}$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|x|^{\beta}}{(|x|+t)^{d+1}}\mathrm{d}x &\preceq \int_0^{\infty} \frac{r^{\beta+d-1}}{(r+t)^{d+1}}\mathrm{d}r = \left(\int_0^t + \int_t^{\infty}\right)\frac{r^{\beta+d-1}}{(r+t)^{d+1}}\mathrm{d}r \\ &\leqslant \int_0^t \frac{r^{d+\beta-1}}{t^{d+1}}\mathrm{d}r + \int_t^{\infty} r^{\beta-2}\mathrm{d}r = \frac{t^{\beta-1}}{d+\beta} + \frac{t^{\beta-1}}{1-\beta},\end{aligned}$$

which in turn implies (2.2). Notice that the following 3P-inequality holds (cf. [3, Lemma 2.1]):

$$\varrho^0_1(t,x)\varrho^0_1(s,y) \preceq (\varrho^0_1(t,x) + \varrho^0_1(s,y))\varrho^0_1(t+s, x+y). \tag{2.3}$$

We introduce the following classes of functions used in this paper.

Definition 2.1. *(Hölder's space) For* $\beta \in (0,1]$, *define*

$$\mathbb{H}^{\beta} := \left\{ f \in \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d) : \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\beta}} := \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}|f(t,x)| + \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\sup_{x\neq y\in\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{|f(t,x)-f(t,y)|}{|x-y|^{\beta}} < \infty \right\}.$$

(Generalized Kato's class) For $\gamma > 0$, *define*

$$\mathbb{K}^{\gamma}_d := \left\{ f \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d) : \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} K^{\gamma}(\varepsilon) = 0 \right\},$$

where

$$K^{\gamma}(\varepsilon) := \sup_{(t,x)\in[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^{\varepsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho^0_{\gamma}(s,y)|f(t\pm s, x-y)|\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}s, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

A function $f(t,x)$ on $[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d$ will be automatically extended to $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d$ by letting $f(t,\cdot) = 0$ for $t < 0$. The following proposition gives a characterization for $\mathbb{K}^{\gamma}_d$ (see [1, 22, 20] for more discussions).

Proposition 2.2. *For $\gamma > 0$ and $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ with $\frac{d}{p} + \frac{1}{q} < \gamma$, we have*

$$L^q(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)) \subset \mathbb{K}_d^\gamma,$$

and for $\gamma \in (0, d)$,

$$\mathscr{K}_d^\gamma \subset \mathbb{K}_d^\gamma.$$

Proof. Noticing that

$$\int_0^\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho_\gamma^0(s, y) |f(t \pm s, x - y)| \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}s = \int_0^\varepsilon s^{\gamma-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho_1^0(s, y) |f(t \pm s, x - y)| \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}s,$$

by Hölder's inequality, for the first inclusion, it is enough to prove

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} I(\varepsilon) = 0, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$I(\varepsilon) := \int_0^\varepsilon \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho_1^0(s, y)^{p^*} \mathrm{d}y \right)^{\frac{q^*}{p^*}} s^{(\gamma-1)q^*} \mathrm{d}s$$

with $q^* = \frac{q}{q-1}$ and $p^* = \frac{p}{p-1}$. As in the proof of (2.2), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho_1^0(s, y)^{p^*} \mathrm{d}y \preceq s^{d - dp^*},$$

and so,

$$I(\varepsilon) \preceq \int_0^\varepsilon s^{\frac{dq^*}{p^*} - dq^* + (\gamma-1)q^*} \mathrm{d}s \preceq \varepsilon^{1 + \frac{dq^*}{p^*} - dq^* + (\gamma-1)q^*},$$

since $\frac{dq^*}{p^*} - dq^* + (\gamma - 1)q^* > -1$ by $\frac{d}{p} + \frac{1}{q} < \gamma$. Thus (2.4) holds.

Next we prove the second inclusion. Assume $f \in \mathscr{K}_d^\gamma$. By definition, we have

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho_\gamma^0(s, y) |f(x - y)| \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}s \leqslant I_1(\varepsilon) + I_2(\varepsilon),$$

where

$$I_1(\varepsilon) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\varepsilon \int_{|y| \leqslant \varepsilon} \frac{s^\gamma |f(x - y)|}{(|y| + s)^{d+1}} \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}s,$$

$$I_2(\varepsilon) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\varepsilon \int_{|y| > \varepsilon} \frac{s^\gamma |f(x - y)|}{(|y| + s)^{d+1}} \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}s.$$

For $I_1(\varepsilon)$, in view of $\gamma < d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(\varepsilon) &\leqslant \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y| \leqslant \varepsilon} |f(x - y)| \left(\int_{|y|}^\varepsilon s^{\gamma-d-1} \mathrm{d}s + |y|^{-d-1} \int_0^{|y|} s^\gamma \mathrm{d}s \right) \mathrm{d}y \\ &\leqslant \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y| \leqslant \varepsilon} |f(x - y)| \left(\frac{|y|^{-d+\gamma}}{d - \gamma} + \frac{|y|^{-d+\gamma}}{\gamma + 1} \right) \mathrm{d}y \to 0, \quad \varepsilon \downarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

For $I_2(\varepsilon)$, we have

$$I_2(\varepsilon) \leqslant \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y| > \varepsilon} \frac{|f(x - y)|}{|y|^{d+1}} \mathrm{d}y \int_0^\varepsilon s^\gamma \mathrm{d}s = \frac{1}{\gamma + 1} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y| > \varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon^{\gamma+1} |f(x - y)|}{|y|^{d+1}} \mathrm{d}y,$$

which converges to zero by [3, Lemma 11]. □

Set for $s < t$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\varrho^{\beta}_{\gamma}(t, x; s, y) := \varrho^{\beta}_{\gamma}(t - s, x - y).$$

The following lemma is an analogue of 3P-inequality, which will play a crucial role in the sequel.

Lemma 2.3. *For all $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0, \frac{1}{4}]$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho^{\beta_1}_{\gamma_1}(t, x; r, z)\varrho^{\beta_2}_{\gamma_2}(r, z; s, y)\mathrm{d}z \leqslant C_d\Big\{&(t - r)^{\gamma_1+\beta_1+\beta_2-1}(r - s)^{\gamma_2}\varrho^{0}_{0}(t, x; s, y)\\ &+ (t - r)^{\gamma_1+\beta_1-1}(r - s)^{\gamma_2}\varrho^{\beta_2}_{0}(t, x; s, y)\\ &+ (t - r)^{\gamma_1}(r - s)^{\gamma_2+\beta_1+\beta_2-1}\varrho^{0}_{0}(t, x; s, y)\\ &+ (t - r)^{\gamma_1}(r - s)^{\gamma_2+\beta_2-1}\varrho^{\beta_1}_{0}(t, x; s, y)\Big\},\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where C_d only depends on d; and if $\gamma_1 > -\beta_1$ and $\gamma_2 > -\beta_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_s^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho^{\beta_1}_{\gamma_1}(t, x; r, z)\varrho^{\beta_2}_{\gamma_2}(r, z; s, y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r \leqslant C_d\Big\{&\varrho^{0}_{\gamma_1+\gamma_2+\beta_1+\beta_2}(t, x; s, y)\mathcal{B}(\gamma_1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2, 1 + \gamma_2)\\ &+ \varrho^{\beta_2}_{\gamma_1+\gamma_2+\beta_1}(t, x; s, y)\mathcal{B}(\gamma_1 + \beta_1, 1 + \gamma_2)\\ &+ \varrho^{0}_{\gamma_1+\gamma_2+\beta_1+\beta_2}(t, x; s, y)\mathcal{B}(\gamma_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2, 1 + \gamma_1))\\ &+ \varrho^{\beta_1}_{\gamma_1+\gamma_2+\beta_2}(t, x; s, y)\mathcal{B}(\gamma_2 + \beta_2, 1 + \gamma_1\Big\},\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where $\mathcal{B}(\gamma, \beta)$ is the usual Beta function defined by

$$\mathcal{B}(\gamma, \beta) := \int_0^1 (1 - s)^{\gamma-1}s^{\beta-1}\mathrm{d}s, \quad \gamma, \beta > 0.$$

Moreover, there exist $p > 1$ and $C_p > 0$ such that for all $0 \leqslant s < t \leqslant 1$ and $x \neq y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\int_s^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho^{\beta_1}_{\gamma_1}(t, x; r, z)\varrho^{\beta_2}_{\gamma_2}(r, z; s, y)\mathrm{d}z\right)^p \mathrm{d}r \leqslant \frac{C_p}{|x - y|^{(d+1)p}}. \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. First of all, in view of

$$(|x - y|^2 + |t - s|^2)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \leqslant 2^d\Big\{(|x - z|^2 + |t - r|^2)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} + (|z - y|^2 + |r - s|^2)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}\Big\},$$

we have

$$\varrho^{0}_{0}(t, x; r, z)\varrho^{0}_{0}(r, z; s, y) \leqslant 2^d\Big(\varrho^{0}_{0}(t, x; r, z) + \varrho^{0}_{0}(r, z; s, y)\Big)\varrho^{0}_{0}(t, x; s, y). \tag{2.8}$$

Noticing that by $(a + b)^{\beta} \leqslant a^{\beta} + b^{\beta}$ for $\beta \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}(|x - z|^{\beta_1} \wedge 1)(|z - y|^{\beta_2} \wedge 1) &\leqslant (|x - z|^{\beta_1} \wedge 1)((|x - z|^{\beta_2} + |x - y|^{\beta_2}) \wedge 1)\\ &\leqslant |x - z|^{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge 1 + (|x - z|^{\beta_1} \wedge 1)(|x - y|^{\beta_2} \wedge 1),\\ (|x - z|^{\beta_1} \wedge 1)(|z - y|^{\beta_2} \wedge 1) &\leqslant ((|z - y|^{\beta_1} + |x - y|^{\beta_1}) \wedge 1)(|z - y|^{\beta_2} \wedge 1)\\ &\leqslant |z - y|^{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge 1 + (|z - y|^{\beta_2} \wedge 1)(|x - y|^{\beta_1} \wedge 1),\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\varrho^{\beta_1}_{\gamma_1}(t, x; r, z)\varrho^{\beta_2}_{\gamma_2}(r, z; s, y)\\ &\qquad = |t - r|^{\gamma_1}|r - s|^{\gamma_2}(|x - z|^{\beta_1} \wedge 1)(|z - y|^{\beta_2} \wedge 1)\varrho^{0}_{0}(t, x; r, z)\varrho^{0}_{0}(r, z; s, y)\\ &\qquad \le |t - r|^{\gamma_1}|r - s|^{\gamma_2}\Big((|x - z|^{\beta_1} \wedge 1)(|x - y|^{\beta_2} \wedge 1) + |x - z|^{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge 1\Big)\\ &\qquad\qquad \times \varrho^{0}_{0}(t, x; r, z)\varrho^{0}_{0}(t, x; s, y)\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+|t-r|^{\gamma_1}|r-s|^{\gamma_2}\Big((|z-y|^{\beta_2}\wedge 1)(|x-y|^{\beta_1}\wedge 1)+|z-y|^{\beta_1+\beta_2}\wedge 1\Big)\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\varrho_0^0(r,z;s,y)\varrho_0^0(t,x;s,y)\\
&\lesssim |r-s|^{\gamma_2}(\varrho^{\beta_1+\beta_2}_{\gamma_1}(t,x;r,z)\varrho_0^0(t,x;s,y)+\varrho^{\beta_1}_{\gamma_1}(t,x;r,z)\varrho_0^{\beta_2}(t,x;s,y))\\
&+|t-r|^{\gamma_1}(\varrho^{\beta_1+\beta_2}_{\gamma_2}(r,z;s,y)\varrho_0^0(t,x;s,y)+\varrho^{\beta_2}_{\gamma_2}(r,z;s,y)\varrho_0^{\beta_1}(t,x;s,y)).
\end{aligned}
$$

Estimate (2.5) follows by (2.2), and estimate (2.6) follows by observing that for $\gamma,\beta>0$,

$$
\int_s^t (t-r)^{\gamma-1}(r-s)^{\beta-1}\mathrm{d}r=(t-s)^{\gamma+\beta-1}\mathcal{B}(\gamma,\beta). \tag{2.9}
$$

As for estimate (2.7), it follows by (2.5) and (2.9). □

Let $\rho(t,x)$ be the heat kernel of the Cauchy operator $\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$, i.e.,

$$
\partial_t\rho(t,x)=\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho(t,x). \tag{2.10}
$$

It is well-known that

$$
\rho(t,x)=\pi^{-\frac{d+1}{2}}\Gamma(\tfrac{d+1}{2})(|x|^2+t^2)^{-\frac{d+1}{2}}t=\pi^{-\frac{d+1}{2}}\Gamma(\tfrac{d+1}{2})\varrho_1^0(t,x),
$$

which is also called Poisson kernel (cf. [19]). By elementary calculations, one has

$$
|\nabla_x\rho(t,x)|+|\partial_t\rho(t,x)|\lesssim(|x|+t)^{-d-1}, \tag{2.11}
$$

$$
|\nabla^2_x\rho(t,x)|+|\nabla_x\partial_t\rho(t,x)|\lesssim(|x|+t)^{-d-2}, \tag{2.12}
$$

and

$$
|\nabla^3_x\rho(t,x)|+|\nabla^2_x\partial_t\rho(t,x)|\lesssim(|x|+t)^{-d-3}. \tag{2.13}
$$

Let a,b be two bounded measurable functions on $[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d$. We define

$$
p_0(t,x;s,y):=\rho\left(\int_s^t a(r,y)\mathrm{d}r,\, x-y+\int_s^t b(r,y)\mathrm{d}r\right),
$$

and

$$
\mathscr{L}^x_{a,b}(t,y):=a(t,y)\Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}}+b(t,y)\cdot\nabla_x. \tag{2.14}
$$

By (2.10) and the Lebesgue differential theorem, we have for all $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d$ and almost all $t>s$,

$$
\partial_t p_0(t,x;s,y)=\mathscr{L}^x_{a,b}(t,y)p_0(t,\cdot;s,y)(x). \tag{2.15}
$$

We prepare the following important estimates for later use.

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that for some* $a_0,a_1,b_1>0$,

$$
a_0\leqslant a(r,y)\leqslant a_1,\quad |b(r,y)|\leqslant b_1. \tag{2.16}
$$

Then we have

$$
p_0(t,x;s,y)\asymp\varrho_1^0(t,x;s,y), \tag{2.17}
$$

and

$$
|\Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}}p_0(t,x;s,y)|\lesssim(|x-y|+|t-s|)^{-d-1}, \tag{2.18}
$$

$$
|\nabla_x p_0(t,x;s,y)|\lesssim|t-s|(|x-y|+|t-s|)^{-d-2}, \tag{2.19}
$$

$$
|\partial_t p_0(t,x;s,y)|\lesssim(|x-y|+|t-s|)^{-d-1}, \tag{2.20}
$$

$$
|\nabla_x\Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}}p_0(t,x;s,y)|\lesssim(|x-y|+|t-s|)^{-d-2}, \tag{2.21}
$$

$$
|\nabla^2_x p_0(t,x;s,y)|\lesssim(|x-y|+|t-s|)^{-d-2}. \tag{2.22}
$$

Moreover, if we further assume that $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^\beta$ *for some* $\beta \in (0,1)$*, then*

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x p_0(t,x;s,y)\mathrm{d}y\right| \preceq (t-s)^{\beta-1}, \tag{2.23}$$

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}} p_0(t,x;s,y)\mathrm{d}y\right| \preceq (t-s)^{\beta-1}, \tag{2.24}$$

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t p_0(t,x;s,y)\mathrm{d}y\right| \preceq (t-s)^{\beta-1}, \tag{2.25}$$

$$\lim_{t\downarrow s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_0(t,x;s,y)\mathrm{d}y = 1, \tag{2.26}$$

and for all $|w| \leqslant |x-y|/2$ *and* $\gamma \in [0,\beta]$*,*

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla_x p_0(t,x+w;s,y) - \nabla_x p_0(t,x;s,y))\mathrm{d}y\right| \preceq |w|^\gamma (t-s)^{\beta-\gamma-1}. \tag{2.27}$$

Proof. (1) By (2.16), we have

$$\int_s^t a(r,y)\mathrm{d}r \asymp t-s,$$

and for any $|w| \leqslant |x-y|/2$,

$$\left|x+w-y+\int_s^t b(r,y)\mathrm{d}r\right| + |t-s| \asymp |x-y| + |t-s|. \tag{2.28}$$

Estimate (2.17) follows by definition. For (2.18), by (2.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}} p_0(t,x;s,y) &= (\Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho)\left(\int_s^t a(r,y)\mathrm{d}r, x-y+\int_s^t b(r,y)\mathrm{d}r\right)\\ &= (\partial_t\rho)\left(\int_s^t a(r,y)\mathrm{d}r, x-y+\int_s^t b(r,y)\mathrm{d}r\right).\end{aligned}$$

Estimate (2.18) follows by (2.11). Similarly, (2.19)-(2.22) follow by (2.11), (2.12) and (2.15).

(2) Define

$$\xi(t,x;s,y;z) := \rho\left(\int_s^t a(r,z)\mathrm{d}r, x-y+\int_s^t b(r,z)\mathrm{d}r\right).$$

Clearly, for any $s < t$ and $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \xi(t,x;s,y;z)\mathrm{d}y = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho\left(\int_s^t a(r,z)\mathrm{d}r, y\right)\mathrm{d}y = 1$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x \xi(t,x;s,y;z)\mathrm{d}y = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi(t,x;s,y;z)\mathrm{d}y = 0.$$

Thus, for proving (2.23), it suffices to prove that

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big(\nabla_x p_0(t,x;s,y) - \nabla_x \xi(t,x;s,y;z)\Big)\mathrm{d}y\right|_{z=x} \preceq (t-s)^{\beta-1}. \tag{2.29}$$

By definitions, one has

$$\begin{aligned}&\nabla_x p_0(t,x;s,y) - \nabla_x \xi(t,x;s,y;z)|_{z=x}\\ &\quad = (\nabla_x\rho)\left(\int_s^t a(r,y)\mathrm{d}r, x-y+\int_s^t b(r,y)\mathrm{d}r\right)\\ &\quad - (\nabla_x\rho)\left(\int_s^t a(r,x)\mathrm{d}r, x-y+\int_s^t b(r,x)\mathrm{d}r\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

Since $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^\beta$, estimate (2.29) follows by (2.12) and (2.2).

Similarly, we can prove

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big(\Delta_x^{\frac12} p_0(t,x;s,y) - \Delta_x^{\frac12}\xi(t,x;s,y;z)\Big)\mathrm{d}y\right|_{z=x} \lesssim (t-s)^{\beta-1},$$

and

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big(p_0(t,x;s,y) - \xi(t,x;s,y;z)\Big)\mathrm{d}y\right|_{z=x} \lesssim (t-s)^{\beta}.$$

Thus, (2.24) and (2.26) follow.

Next we prove (2.25). By (2.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\partial_t p_0(t,x;s,y)\mathrm{d}y\right| &= \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big(a(t,y)\Delta_x^{\frac12} p_0(t,x;s,y) + b(t,y)\cdot\nabla_x p_0(t,x;s,y)\Big)\mathrm{d}y\right|\\
&\leqslant |a(t,x)|\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Delta_x^{\frac12} p_0(t,x;s,y)\mathrm{d}y\right| + |b(t,x)|\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\nabla_x p_0(t,x;s,y)\mathrm{d}y\right|\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|a(t,y)-a(t,x)|\cdot|\Delta_x^{\frac12} p_0(t,x;s,y)|\mathrm{d}y\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|b(t,y)-b(t,x)|\cdot|\nabla_x p_0(t,x;s,y)|\mathrm{d}y\\
&\lesssim (t-s)^{\beta-1} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\varrho_0^\beta(t,x;s,y)\mathrm{d}y \overset{(2.2)}{\lesssim} (t-s)^{\beta-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Lastly, we prove (2.27). Notice that by (2.30) and (2.13),

$$\begin{aligned}&|\nabla_x p_0(t,x+w;s,y) - \nabla_x\xi(t,x+w;s,y;z)|_{z=x} - (\nabla_x p_0(t,x;s,y) - \nabla_x\xi(t,x;s,y;z)|_{z=x})|\\
&= \Bigg|w\cdot\int_0^1\Bigg[(\nabla_x^2\rho)\left(\int_s^t a(r,y)\mathrm{d}r, x+\theta w - y + \int_s^t b(r,y)\mathrm{d}r\right)\\
&\qquad - (\nabla_x^2\rho)\left(\int_s^t a(r,x)\mathrm{d}r, x+\theta w - y + \int_s^t b(r,x)\mathrm{d}r\right)\Bigg]\mathrm{d}\theta\Bigg|\\
&\lesssim |w|\frac{(t-s)(|x-y|^\beta\wedge 1)}{(|x-y|+(t-s))^{d+3}} \lesssim |w|^\gamma (t-s)^{\beta-\gamma}\varrho_0^0(t,x;s;y),\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.28). Estimate (2.27) then follows by (2.2). □

Remark 2.5. *By (2.19), we also have for any $\gamma \in (0,1]$,*

$$|\nabla_x p_0(t,x;s,y)| \lesssim |t-s|^\gamma |x-y|^{-\gamma}\varrho_0^0(t,x;s,y). \tag{2.31}$$

This estimate is important for the lower bound estimate of the heat kernel.

3. HEAT KERNEL OF $\mathscr{L}_{a,b} := a\Delta^{\frac12} + b\cdot\nabla$

Let $\mathscr{L}_{a,b} := \mathscr{L}^x_{a,b}(t,x) = a(t,x)\Delta_x^{\frac12} + b(t,x)\cdot\nabla_x$. Now we want to seek the heat kernel of $\mathscr{L}_{a,b}$ with the following form:

$$p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y) = p_0(t,x;s,y) + \int_s^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_0(t,x;r,z)q(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r. \tag{3.1}$$

The classical Levi's continuity argument (see [15, 10]) suggests that $q(t,x;s,y)$ must satisfy the following integral equation:

$$q(t,x;s,y) = q_0(t,x;s,y) + \int_s^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} q_0(t,x;r,z)q(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$q_0(t,x;s,y) := (a(t,x)-a(t,y))\Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}} p_0(t,x;s,y) + (b(t,x)-b(t,y))\cdot\nabla_x p_0(t,x;s,y). \tag{3.3}$$

Below, we shall work on the time interval $[0,1]$, and always assume

$$0 \leqslant s < t \leqslant 1, \quad x \neq y \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and for some $\beta \in (0,1)$,

$$a, b \in \mathbb{H}^\beta. \tag{3.4}$$

Our first task is thus to solve the integral equation (3.2).

Let us now recursively define

$$q_n(t,x;s,y) := \int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} q_0(t,x;r,z)q_{n-1}(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.5}$$

Lemma 3.1. *For $\beta \in (0,\frac{1}{4}]$, there exists a constant $C_d > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$|q_n(t,x;s,y)| \leqslant \frac{(C_d\Gamma(\beta))^{n+1}}{\Gamma((n+1)\beta)}\left(\varrho^0_{(n+1)\beta}(t,x;s,y) + \varrho^\beta_{n\beta}(t,x;s,y)\right). \tag{3.6}$$

Moreover, if $a(t,x) = a(t)$ is independent of x, then

$$|q_n(t,x;s,y)| \leqslant \frac{(C_d\Gamma(\beta))^{n+1}}{\Gamma((n+1)\beta)}\varrho^0_{(n+1)\beta}(t,x;s,y). \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. First of all, by (3.4) and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$|q_0(t,x;s,y)| \leqslant C_d\varrho^\beta_0(t,x;s,y).$$

Notice that

$$\mathcal{B}(\gamma,\beta) \text{ is symmetric and non-increasing with respect to each variable } \gamma \text{ and } \beta.$$

For $n = 1$, by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$q_1 \leqslant C_d\mathcal{B}(2\beta,1)\varrho^0_{2\beta} + C_d\mathcal{B}(\beta,1)\varrho^\beta_\beta \leqslant C_d\mathcal{B}(\beta,\beta)\Big\{\varrho^0_{2\beta} + \varrho^\beta_\beta\Big\}.$$

Suppose now that

$$q_n \leqslant \gamma_n\Big\{\varrho^0_{(n+1)\beta} + \varrho^\beta_{n\beta}\Big\}.$$

By Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_{n+1} &\leqslant C_d\gamma_n\Big\{\mathcal{B}(\beta,1+(n+1)\beta) + \mathcal{B}((n+2)\beta,1) + \mathcal{B}(2\beta,1+n\beta)\Big\}\varrho^0_{(n+2)\beta} \\ &\quad + C_d\gamma_n\Big\{\mathcal{B}((n+1)\beta,1) + \mathcal{B}(\beta,1+n\beta)\Big\}\varrho^\beta_{(n+1)\beta} \\ &\leqslant C_d\gamma_n\mathcal{B}(\beta,(n+1)\beta)\Big\{\varrho^0_{(n+2)\beta} + \varrho^\beta_{(n+1)\beta}\Big\} =: \gamma_{n+1}\Big\{\varrho^0_{(n+2)\beta} + \varrho^\beta_{(n+1)\beta}\Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\gamma_{n+1} = C_d\gamma_n\mathcal{B}(\beta,(n+1)\beta).$$

Hence, by $\mathcal{B}(\gamma,\beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\gamma+\beta)}$, we obtain

$$\gamma_n = C_d^{n+1}\mathcal{B}(\beta,\beta)\mathcal{B}(\beta,2\beta)\cdots\mathcal{B}(\beta,n\beta) = \frac{(C_d\Gamma(\beta))^{n+1}}{\Gamma((n+1)\beta)},$$

which gives (3.6).

In the case of $a(t,x) = a(t)$, by (2.31) we have

$$q_0(t,x;s,y) \preceq \varrho^0_\beta(t,x;s,y).$$

Repeating the above proof, we obtain (3.7). □

We also need the following Hölder continuity of q_n with respect to x.

Lemma 3.2. *For all $n \geqslant 0$ and $\gamma \in (0,\beta)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}|q_n(t,x;s,y)-q_n(t,x';s,y)| \le\ & \frac{(C_d\Gamma(\beta))^{n+1}}{\Gamma(n\beta+\gamma)}\Big(|x-x'|^{\beta-\gamma}\wedge 1\Big)\\ &\times\bigg\{\Big(\varrho^0_{\gamma+n\beta}+\varrho^\beta_{\gamma+(n-1)\beta}\Big)(t,x;s,y)+\Big(\varrho^0_{\gamma+n\beta}+\varrho^\beta_{\gamma+(n-1)\beta}\Big)(t,x';s,y)\bigg\}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us first prove the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}&|q_0(t,x;s,y)-q_0(t,x';s,y)|\\ &\quad\le(|x-x'|^{\beta-\gamma}\wedge 1)\Big\{(\varrho^0_\gamma+\varrho^\beta_{\gamma-\beta})(t,x;s,y)+(\varrho^0_\gamma+\varrho^\beta_{\gamma-\beta})(t,x';s,y)\Big\}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

In the case of $|x-x'|>1$, we have

$$|q_0(t,x;s,y)|\le(\varrho^0_\beta+\varrho^\beta_0)(t,x;s,y)\leqslant(\varrho^0_\gamma+\varrho^\beta_{\gamma-\beta})(t,x;s,y)$$

and

$$|q_0(t,x';s,y)|\le(\varrho^0_\beta+\varrho^\beta_0)(t,x';s,y)\leqslant(\varrho^0_\gamma+\varrho^\beta_{\gamma-\beta})(t,x';s,y).$$

In the case of $1\geqslant|x-x'|>|t-s|$, by (2.18) and (2.19) we have

$$|q_0(t,x;s,y)|\le\varrho^\beta_0(t,x;s,y)=(t-s)^{\beta-\gamma}\varrho^\beta_{\gamma-\beta}(t,x;s,y)\le|x-x'|^{\beta-\gamma}\varrho^\beta_{\gamma-\beta}(t,x;s,y),$$

and also

$$|q_0(t,x';s,y)|\le|x-x'|^{\beta-\gamma}\varrho^\beta_{\gamma-\beta}(t,x';s,y).$$

Suppose now that

$$|x-x'|\leqslant|t-s|.\tag{3.9}$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned}|q_0(t,x;s,y)-q_0(t,x';s,y)|\leqslant\ &|a(t,x)-a(t,y)|\cdot|\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}_x p_0(t,x;s,y)-\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}_{x'}p_0(t,x';s,y)|\\ &+|a(t,x)-a(t,x')|\cdot|\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}_{x'}p_0(t,x';s,y)|\\ &+|b(t,x)-b(t,y)|\cdot|\nabla_x p_0(t,x;s,y)-\nabla_{x'}p_0(t,x';s,y)|\\ &+|b(t,x)-b(t,x')|\cdot|\nabla_{x'}p_0(t,x';s,y)|\\ &=:I_1+I_2+I_3+I_4.\end{aligned}$$

For I_1, by (2.21) and the mean value theorem, we have

$$I_1\le\{|x-y|^\beta\wedge 1\}|x-x'|(|x+\theta(x'-x)-y|+|t-s|)^{-d-2}.$$

By (3.9), we have

$$|x-y|+|t-s|\leqslant|x+\theta(x'-x)-y|+2|t-s|.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}I_1&\le\{|x-y|^\beta\wedge 1\}|x-x'|(|x-y|+|t-s|)^{-d-2}\\ &\le|x-x'|^{\beta-\gamma}\frac{|t-s|^{1+\gamma-\beta}\{|x-y|^\beta\wedge 1\}}{|x-y|+|t-s|}(|x-y|+|t-s|)^{-d-1}\\ &\le|x-x'|^{\beta-\gamma}|t-s|^\gamma(|x-y|+|t-s|)^{-d-1}=|x-x'|^{\beta-\gamma}\varrho^0_\gamma(t,x;s,y).\end{aligned}$$

By (2.19), we have

$$I_2\le|x-x'|^\beta(|x'-y|+|t-s|)^{-d-1}\le|x-x'|^{\beta-\gamma}\varrho^0_\gamma(t,x';s,y).$$

Similarly, we have

$$I_3\le|x-x'|^{\beta-\gamma}\varrho^0_\gamma(t,x;s,y).$$

$$I_4 \le |x-x'|^{\beta-\gamma}\varrho^0_\gamma(t,x';s,y).$$

Combining the above calculations, we obtain (3.8).

Now by definition (3.5), (3.8) and Lemma 3.1, we have for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}|q_n(t,x;s,y)-q_n(t,x';s,y)| &\le \int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |q_0(t,x;r,z)-q_0(t,x';r,z)|q_{n-1}(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r\\ &\le \frac{(C_d\Gamma(\beta))^n}{\Gamma(n\beta)}\Big(|x-x'|^{\beta-\gamma}\wedge 1\Big)\int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big\{(\varrho^0_\gamma+\varrho^\beta_{\gamma-\beta})(t,x;r,z)+(\varrho^0_\gamma+\varrho^\beta_{\gamma-\beta})(t,x';r,z)\Big\}\\ &\qquad\qquad\times\Big\{\varrho^0_{n\beta}(r,z;s,y)+\varrho^\beta_{(n-1)\beta}(r,z;s,y)\Big\}\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r,\end{aligned}$$

which yields the result by Lemma 2.3. □

Basing on the above two lemmas, we have

Theorem 3.3. *The function* $q(t,x;s,y) := \sum_{n=0}^\infty q_n(t,x;s,y)$ *solves the integral equation (3.2). Moreover,* $q(t,x;s,y)$ *has the following estimates:*

$$|q(t,x;s,y)| \le \varrho^\beta_0(t,x;s,y)+\varrho^0_\beta(t,x;s,y), \tag{3.10}$$

and for any $\gamma \in (0,\beta)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&|q(t,x;s,y)-q(t,x';s,y)|\\ &\quad\le \Big(|x-x'|^{\beta-\gamma}\wedge 1\Big)\Big\{(\varrho^0_\gamma+\varrho^\beta_{\gamma-\beta})(t,x;s,y)+(\varrho^0_\gamma+\varrho^\beta_{\gamma-\beta})(t,x';s,y)\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

In the case of $a(t,x)=a(t)$ *independent of* x*, we have*

$$|q(t,x;s,y)| \le \varrho^0_\beta(t,x;s,y). \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, one sees that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=0}^\infty |q_n(t,x;s,y)| &\leqslant \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(C_d\Gamma(\beta))^{n+1}}{\Gamma((n+1)\beta)}\Big(\varrho^0_{(n+1)\beta}(t,x;s,y)+\varrho^\beta_{n\beta}(t,x;s,y)\Big)\\ &\leqslant \left\{\sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(C_d\Gamma(\beta))^{n+1}}{\Gamma((n+1)\beta)}\right\}\Big(\varrho^0_\beta(t,x;s,y)+\varrho^\beta_0(t,x;s,y)\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Since the series is convergent, we obtain (3.10). Similarly, estimate (3.11) follows by Lemma 3.2. Moreover, by (3.5) we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m+1} q_n(t,x;s,y) = q_0(t,x;s,y)+\int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} q_0(t,x;r,z)\sum_{n=0}^m q_n(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r,$$

which yields (3.2) by taking limits $m\to\infty$ for both sides.

In the case of $a(t,x)=a(t)$, we use (3.7) to repeat the above proof, and obtain (3.12). □

For $r\in(s,t)$, let us set

$$\phi(t,x,r) := \phi_{s,y}(t,x,r) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_0(t,x;r,z)q(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z,$$

and

$$\varphi(t,x) := \int_s^t \phi(t,x,r)\mathrm{d}r = \int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_0(t,x;r,z)q(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r,$$

where the integral is taken in the generalized sense, i.e.,

$$\phi(t,x,r) = \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\int_{|x-z|\geqslant\varepsilon} p_0(t,x;r,z)q(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z.$$

Notice that by (2.17), (3.10) and (2.5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\phi_{s,y}(t,x,r)| &\leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_0(t,x;r,z)|q(r,z;s,y)|\mathrm{d}z \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho_1^0(t,x;r,z)(\varrho_\beta^0+\varrho_0^\beta)(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z \\
&\le \Big((t-r)^\beta+(r-s)^\beta+(t-r)(r-s)^{\beta-1}\Big)\varrho_0^0(t,x;s,y)+\varrho_0^\beta(t,x;s,y). \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}
$$

Below we study the smoothness of $(t,x)\mapsto\varphi(t,x)$.

Lemma 3.4. *For all $x\neq y\in\mathbb{R}^d$ and almost all $t>s$, we have*

$$
\partial_t\varphi(t,x)=q(t,x;s,y)+\int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\mathscr{L}^x_{a,b}(t,z)p_0(t,\cdot;r,z)(x)q(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r. \qquad (3.14)
$$

Proof. (Claim 1): For $r\in(s,t)$, we have

$$
\partial_t\phi_{s,y}(t,x,r)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\partial_t p_0(t,x;r,z)q(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z. \qquad (3.15)
$$

Proof of Claim 1: Write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\phi_{s,y}(t+\varepsilon,x,r)-\phi_{s,y}(t,x,r)}{\varepsilon} &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(p_0(t+\varepsilon,x;r,z)-p_0(t,x;r,z))q(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left(\int_0^1\partial_t p_0(t+\theta\varepsilon,x;r,z)\mathrm{d}\theta\right)q(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z.
\end{aligned}
$$

By (2.18) and (2.19), we have for $|\varepsilon|<\frac{t-r}{2}$,

$$
|\partial_t p_0(t+\theta\varepsilon,x;r,z)|\preceq(|x-z|+t+\theta\varepsilon-r)^{-d-1}\preceq(|x-z|+(t-r))^{-d-1},
$$

which together with (3.10) yields

$$
|\partial_t p_0(t+\theta\varepsilon,x;r,z)q(r,z;s,y)|\preceq\varrho_0^0(t,x;r,z)(\varrho_\beta^0+\varrho_0^\beta)(r,z;s,y)=:g(z).
$$

By (2.5), one sees that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}g(z)\mathrm{d}z<+\infty.
$$

Hence, by the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon\to0}\frac{\phi_{s,y}(t+\varepsilon,x,r)-\phi_{s,y}(t,x,r)}{\varepsilon}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\partial_t p_0(t,x;r,z)q(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z,
$$

and (3.15) is proven.

(Claim 2): For $x\neq y$, we have

$$
\int_s^t\!\!\int_s^{t'}|\partial_{t'}\phi_{s,y}(t',x,r)|\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}t'<+\infty. \qquad (3.16)
$$

Proof of Claim 2: By (3.15), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\partial_{t'}\phi_{s,y}(t',x,r)| &\leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\partial_{t'}p_0(t',x;r,z)|\cdot|q(r,z;s,y)-q(r,x;s,y)|\mathrm{d}z \\
&\quad + |q(r,x;s,y)|\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\partial_{t'}p_0(t',x;r,z)\mathrm{d}z\right| \\
&=: Q^{(1)}_{s,y}(t',x,r)+Q^{(2)}_{s,y}(t',x,r). \qquad (3.17)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $Q^{(1)}_{s,y}(t',x,r)$, by (2.20) and (3.11) we have

$$
\int_s^t\!\!\int_s^{t'}Q^{(1)}_{s,y}(t',x,r)\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}t'\preceq\int_s^t\!\!\int_s^{t'}\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\varrho_0^{\beta-\gamma}(t',x;r,z)(\varrho_\gamma^0+\varrho_{\gamma-\beta}^\beta)(r,x;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}t'
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\quad + \int_s^t\int_s^{t'}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho_0^{\beta-\gamma}(t',x;r,z)(\varrho_\gamma^0+\varrho_{\gamma-\beta}^\beta)(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}t' \\
&\preceq \int_s^t\int_s^{t'} (t'-r)^{\beta-\gamma-1}(\varrho_\gamma^0+\varrho_{\gamma-\beta}^\beta)(r,x;s,y)\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}t' \\
&\quad + \int_s^t (\varrho_\beta^0+\varrho_0^\beta+\varrho_\gamma^{\beta-\gamma})(t',x;s,y)\mathrm{d}t' \\
&\preceq \frac{1}{|x-y|^{d+1}}\int_s^t\int_s^{t'} (t'-r)^{\beta-\gamma-1}((r-s)^\gamma+(r-s)^{\gamma-\beta})\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}t' \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{|x-y|^{d+1}}\int_s^t ((t'-s)^\gamma+1+(t'-s)^\beta)\mathrm{d}t' < +\infty. \qquad (3.18)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $Q^{(2)}_{s,y}(t',x,r)$, by (2.25) and (3.10) we have

$$
\int_s^t\int_s^{t'} Q^{(2)}_{s,y}(t',x,r)\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}t' \preceq \int_s^t\int_s^{t'} (\varrho_\beta^0+\varrho_0^\beta)(r,x;s,y)(t'-r)^{\beta-1}\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}t' < +\infty. \qquad (3.19)
$$

Combining (3.17)-(3.19), we obtain (3.16).

(Claim 3): For fixed r,x,s,y, we have

$$
\lim_{t\downarrow r}\phi_{s,y}(t,x,r) = q(r,x;s,y). \qquad (3.20)
$$

Proof of Claim 3: By (2.26), it suffices to prove that

$$
\lim_{t\downarrow r}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_0(t,x;r,z)(q(r,z;s,y)-q(r,x;s,y))\mathrm{d}z\right| = 0.
$$

Notice that for any $\delta>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_0(t,x;r,z)(q(r,z;s,y)-q(r,x;s,y))\mathrm{d}z\right| \\
&\quad\leqslant \int_{|x-z|\leqslant\delta} p_0(t,x;r,z)|q(r,z;s,y)-q(r,x;s,y)|\mathrm{d}z \\
&\quad+ \int_{|x-z|>\delta} p_0(t,x;r,z)|q(r,z;s,y)-q(r,x;s,y)|\mathrm{d}z \\
&\quad=: J_1(\delta,t,r)+J_2(\delta,t,r).
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $\varepsilon>0$, by (3.11), there exists a $\delta=\delta(r,x,s,y)>0$ such that for all $|x-z|\leqslant\delta$,

$$
|q(r,z;s,y)-q(r,x;s,y)|\leqslant\varepsilon.
$$

Thus,

$$
J_1(\delta,t,r)\leqslant\varepsilon\int_{|x-z|\leqslant\delta} p_0(t,x;r,z)\mathrm{d}z\leqslant\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_0(t,x;r,z)\mathrm{d}z\preceq\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\varrho_1^0(t,x;r,z)\mathrm{d}z\leqslant\varepsilon.
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_2(\delta,t,r) &\overset{(2.17)}{\preceq} (t-r)\int_{|x-z|>\delta}\frac{|q(r,z;s,y)|+|q(r,x;s,y)|}{|x-z|^{d+1}}\mathrm{d}z \\
&\leqslant (t-r)\left(\delta^{-d-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|q(r,z;s,y)|\mathrm{d}z+|q(r,x;s,y)|\int_{|z|>\delta}|z|^{-d-1}\mathrm{d}z\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which, by (3.10) and (2.2), converges to zero as $t\downarrow r$. The claim (3.20) is thus proved.

Now, by integration by parts formula and (3.20), we have

$$\int_r^t \partial_{r'}\phi_{s,y}(r',x,r)\mathrm{d}r' = \phi_{s,y}(t,x,r) - q(r,x;s,y).$$

Integrating both sides with respect to r from s to t, and then by (3.16) and Fubini's theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(t,x) - \int_s^t q(r,x;s,y)\mathrm{d}r &= \int_s^t\int_r^t \partial_{r'}\phi_{s,y}(r',x,r)\mathrm{d}r'\mathrm{d}r \overset{(3.16)}{=} \int_s^t\int_s^{r'} \partial_{r'}\phi_{s,y}(r',x,r)\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}r'\\ &\overset{(3.15),(2.15)}{=} \int_s^t\int_s^{r'}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathscr{L}^x_{a,b}(r',z)p_0(r',\cdot;r,z)(x)q(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}r',\end{aligned}$$

which in turn implies (3.14) by the Lebesgue differential theorem. □

Lemma 3.5. *For all $t > s$ and $x \neq y$, we have*

$$\nabla_x\varphi(t,x) = \int_s^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x p_0(t,x;r,z)q(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r, \tag{3.21}$$

$$\Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi(t,x) = \int_s^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}} p_0(t,x;r,z)q(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r, \tag{3.22}$$

where the integrals are understood in the sense of iterated integrals.

Proof. First of all, for fixed $s < r < t$, since

$$(x,z) \mapsto p_0(t,x;r,z) \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$$

and

$$z \mapsto q(r,z;s,y) \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

by Lemma 2.4, it is easy to see that

$$\nabla_x\phi_{s,y}(t,x,r) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x p_0(t,x;r,z)q(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z, \tag{3.23}$$

and

$$\Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi_{s,y}(t,x,r) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}} p_0(t,x;r,z)q(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z. \tag{3.24}$$

(1) We prove the following claim: For any $t > s$ and $x \neq y$, there exists a $p > 1$ such that

$$\sup_{|w|\leqslant|x-y|/2} I(p,w) < \infty, \text{ where } I(p,w) := \int_s^t |\nabla_x\phi_{s,y}(t,x+w;r)|^p\mathrm{d}r. \tag{3.25}$$

Proof of Claim: By (3.23) and (2.23) we have

$$\begin{aligned}I(p,w) \leq{}& \int_s^t \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x p_0(t,x+w;r,z)(q(r,z;s,y) - q(r,x+w;s,y))\mathrm{d}z\right|^p \mathrm{d}r\\ &+ \int_s^t \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x p_0(t,x+w;r,z)\mathrm{d}z\right|^p |q(r,x+w;s,y)|^p\mathrm{d}r\\ \overset{(2.19),(3.11)}{\preceq}{}& \int_s^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho_0^{\beta-\gamma}(t,x+w;r,z)(\varrho_\gamma^0 + \varrho_{\gamma-\beta}^\beta)(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\right)^p \mathrm{d}r\\ &+ \int_s^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho_0^{\beta-\gamma}(t,x+w;r,z)(\varrho_\gamma^0 + \varrho_{\gamma-\beta}^\beta)(r,x+w;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\right)^p \mathrm{d}r\\ \overset{(2.23)}{}{}& + \int_s^t (t-r)^{p(\beta-1)}(\varrho_\beta^0 + \varrho_0^\beta)^p(r,x+w;s,y)\mathrm{d}r\end{aligned}$$

$$=: I_1(p,w) + I_2(p,w) + I_3(p,w).$$

For $I_1(p,w)$, it follows by (2.7) that for some $p > 1$,

$$\sup_{|w|\leqslant|x-y|/2} I_1(p,w) < +\infty.$$

For $I_2(p,w)$, by definition (2.1) and (2.2), we have for all $|w| \leqslant |x-y|/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(p,w) &\preceq \int_s^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho_0^{\beta-\gamma}(t,x+w;r,z)\mathrm{d}z\right)^p \left(\frac{(r-s)^\gamma}{|x+w-y|^{d+1}} + \frac{(r-s)^{\gamma-\beta}}{|x+w-y|^{d+1}}\right)^p \mathrm{d}r \\ &\preceq \int_s^t (t-r)^{p(\beta-\gamma-1)} \left(\frac{1}{|x-y|^{d+1}} + \frac{(r-s)^{\gamma-\beta}}{|x-y|^{d+1}}\right)^p \mathrm{d}r < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

provided $p < \frac{1}{1+\gamma-\beta} \wedge \frac{1}{\beta-\gamma}$. Similarly, for $p < \frac{1}{1-\beta}$, we have

$$\sup_{|w|\leqslant|x-y|/2} I_3(p,w) < +\infty. \tag{3.26}$$

Thus, we obtain (3.25).

Now for any $e_i = (0, \cdots, 1, \cdots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we can write

$$\frac{\varphi(t,x+\varepsilon e_i) - \varphi(t,x)}{\varepsilon} = \int_s^t \int_0^1 \partial_{x_i}\phi(t,x+\theta\varepsilon e_i,r)\mathrm{d}\theta\mathrm{d}r.$$

By (3.25) one can take limits to get

$$\partial_{x_i}\varphi(t,x) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to0} \frac{\varphi(t,x+\varepsilon e_i) - \varphi(t,x)}{\varepsilon} = \int_s^t \int_0^1 \lim_{\varepsilon\to0} \partial_{x_i}\phi(t,x+\theta\varepsilon e_i,r)\mathrm{d}\theta\mathrm{d}r = \int_s^t \partial_{x_i}\phi(t,x,r)\mathrm{d}r,$$

and (3.21) is proven.

(2) Next we prove (3.22). Recalling the definition of $\phi_{s,y}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\nabla_x\phi_{s,y}(t,x+w,r) - \nabla_x\phi_{s,y}(t,x,r) \\ &\quad= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla_x p_0(t,x+w;r,z) - \nabla_x p_0(t,x;r,z)) q(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z \\ &\quad= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big(\nabla_x p_0(t,x+w;r,z)(q(r,z;s,y) - q(r,x+w;s,y)) \\ &\qquad\quad - \nabla_x p_0(t,x;r,z)(q(r,z;s,y) - q(r,x;s,y))\Big)\mathrm{d}z \\ &\qquad + \Big\{ q(r,x+w;s,y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x p_0(t,x+w;r,z)\mathrm{d}z \\ &\qquad\quad - q(r,x;s,y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x p_0(t,x;r,z)\mathrm{d}z \Big\} \\ &\quad=: \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q(t,x;r,z;s,y;w)\mathrm{d}z + R(t,x,w;s,y). \end{aligned}$$

We now prove the following claim: For any $\gamma \in (0,\beta)$ and $\sigma \in (0,\beta-\gamma)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &|Q(t,x;r,z;s,y;w)| \\ &\quad\preceq |w|^\sigma \varrho_{-\sigma}^{\beta-\gamma}(t,x+w;r,z)\Big((\varrho_{\gamma-\beta}^{\beta} + \varrho_\gamma^0)(r,x+w;s,y) + (\varrho_{\gamma-\beta}^{\beta} + \varrho_\gamma^0)(r,z;s,y)\Big) \\ &\quad + |w|^\sigma \varrho_{-\sigma}^{\beta-\gamma}(t,x;r,z)\Big((\varrho_{\gamma-\beta}^{\beta} + \varrho_\gamma^0)(r,x;s,y) + (\varrho_{\gamma-\beta}^{\beta} + \varrho_\gamma^0)(r,z;s,y)\Big) \\ &\quad + |w|^\sigma \varrho_{\beta-\gamma-\sigma}^{0}(t,x;r,z)\Big((\varrho_{\gamma-\beta}^{\beta} + \varrho_\gamma^0)(r,x+w;s,y) + (\varrho_{\gamma-\beta}^{\beta} + \varrho_\gamma^0)(r,z;s,y)\Big) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ |w|^{\sigma}\varrho^{0}_{\beta-\gamma-\sigma}(t,x;r,z)\Big((\varrho^{\beta}_{\gamma-\beta}+\varrho^{0}_{\gamma})(r,x+w;s,y)+(\varrho^{\beta}_{\gamma-\beta}+\varrho^{0}_{\gamma})(r,x;s,y)\Big), \tag{3.27}$$

and for $|w| < |x-y|/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} R(t,x;s,y;w) \le{}& |w|^{\gamma}(t-r)^{\beta-1}\Big\{(\varrho^{0}_{\gamma}+\varrho^{\beta}_{\gamma-\beta})(r,x+w;s,y)+(\varrho^{0}_{\gamma}+\varrho^{\beta}_{\gamma-\beta})(r,x;s,y)\Big\} \\ &+ |w|^{\gamma}(t-r)^{\beta-\gamma-1}(\varrho^{0}_{\beta}+\varrho^{\beta}_{0})(r,x;s,y). \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Proof of Claim: Case 1: We assume

$$|w| > |t-r|.$$

By (3.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\nabla_x p_0(t,x;r,z)(q(r,z;s,y)-q(r,x;s,y))| \\ &\quad\le \varrho^{0}_{0}(t,x;r,z)(|x-z|^{\beta-\gamma}\wedge 1)\Big((\varrho^{\beta}_{\gamma-\beta}+\varrho^{0}_{\gamma})(r,x;s,y)+(\varrho^{\beta}_{\gamma-\beta}+\varrho^{0}_{\gamma})(r,z;s,y)\Big) \\ &\quad\le |w|^{\sigma}\varrho^{\beta-\gamma}_{-\sigma}(t,x;r,z)\Big((\varrho^{\beta}_{\gamma-\beta}+\varrho^{0}_{\gamma})(r,x;s,y)+(\varrho^{\beta}_{\gamma-\beta}+\varrho^{0}_{\gamma})(r,z;s,y)\Big), \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} &|\nabla_x p_0(t,x+w;r,z)(q(r,z;s,y)-q(r,x+w;s,y))| \\ &\quad\le |w|^{\sigma}\varrho^{\beta-\gamma}_{-\sigma}(t,x+w;r,z)\Big((\varrho^{\beta}_{\gamma-\beta}+\varrho^{0}_{\gamma})(r,x+w;s,y)+(\varrho^{\beta}_{\gamma-\beta}+\varrho^{0}_{\gamma})(r,z;s,y)\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: We assume

$$|w| \leqslant |t-r|.$$

Noticing that

$$|x+w-z| \leqslant |x-z|+|w| \leqslant |x-z|+|t-r|$$

and

$$|x-z| \leqslant |x+w-z|+|w| \leqslant |x+w-z|+|t-r|,$$

we have for any $\theta_0 \in (0,1)$,

$$|w|\cdot|\nabla^2_x p_0(t,x+\theta_0 w;r,z)|\cdot|x+w-z|^{\beta-\gamma} \le |w|^{\sigma}\varrho^{0}_{\beta-\gamma-\sigma}(t,x;r,z).$$

Hence, for some $\theta_0 \in (0,1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &|(\nabla_x p_0(t,x+w;r,z)-\nabla_x p_0(t,x;r,z))(q(r,z;s,y)-q(r,x+w;s,y))| \\ &\quad\le |w|\cdot|\nabla^2_x p_0(t,x+\theta_0 w;r,z)|\cdot|x+w-z|^{\beta-\gamma} \\ &\quad\times\Big((\varrho^{\beta}_{\gamma-\beta}+\varrho^{0}_{\gamma})(r,x+w;s,y)+(\varrho^{\beta}_{\gamma-\beta}+\varrho^{0}_{\gamma})(r,z;s,y)\Big) \\ &\quad\le |w|^{\sigma}\varrho^{0}_{\beta-\gamma-\sigma}(t,x;r,z)\Big((\varrho^{\beta}_{\gamma-\beta}+\varrho^{0}_{\gamma})(r,x+w;s,y)+(\varrho^{\beta}_{\gamma-\beta}+\varrho^{0}_{\gamma})(r,z;s,y)\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\nabla_x p_0(t,x;r,z)(q(r,x;s,y)-q(r,x+w;s,y))| \\ &\quad\le |w|^{\sigma}\varrho^{0}_{\beta-\gamma-\sigma}(t,x;r,z)\Big((\varrho^{\beta}_{\gamma-\beta}+\varrho^{0}_{\gamma})(r,x+w;s,y)+(\varrho^{\beta}_{\gamma-\beta}+\varrho^{0}_{\gamma})(r,x;s,y)\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above calculations, we obtain (3.27). As for (3.28), it follows by Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 3.3.

(3) Now we are ready to prove the following claim: For any $t > s$ and $x \neq y$, there exists a $p > 1$ such that

$$\sup_{\varepsilon\leqslant|x-y|/2} J(p,\varepsilon) < +\infty, \text{ where } J(p,\varepsilon) := \int_s^t \left|\int_{|w|\geqslant\varepsilon} \frac{\phi_{s,y}(t,x+w,r)-\phi_{s,y}(t,x,r)}{|w|^{d+1}}\mathrm{d}w\right|^p \mathrm{d}r. \tag{3.29}$$

Proof of Claim: Notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(p,\varepsilon) \leq &\int_s^t \left| \int_{\varepsilon\leqslant|w|\leqslant|x-y|/2} \frac{\phi_{s,y}(t,x+w,r)-\phi_{s,y}(t,x,r)-w\cdot\nabla_x\phi_{s,y}(t,x,r)}{|w|^{d+1}}\mathrm{d}w\right|^p \mathrm{d}r\\
&+\int_s^t \left| \int_{|w|>|x-y|/2} \frac{\phi_{s,y}(t,x+w,r)-\phi_{s,y}(t,x,r)}{|w|^{d+1}}\mathrm{d}w\right|^p \mathrm{d}r =: J_1(p,\varepsilon)+J_2(p).
\end{aligned}
$$

For $J_1(p,\varepsilon)$, observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_1(p,\varepsilon) =& \int_s^t \left| \int_{\varepsilon\leqslant|w|\leqslant|x-y|/2} \frac{w}{|w|^{d+1}}\cdot\left(\int_0^1 \Big(\nabla_x\phi_{s,y}(t,x+\theta w,r)-\nabla_x\phi_{s,y}(t,x,r)\Big)\mathrm{d}\theta\right)\mathrm{d}w\right|^p \mathrm{d}r\\
\leq& \int_s^t\left(\int_{\varepsilon\leqslant|w|\leqslant|x-y|/2}\int_0^1 \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|Q(t,x;r,z;s,y;\theta w)|\mathrm{d}z}{|w|^d}\mathrm{d}\theta\mathrm{d}w\right)^p\mathrm{d}r\\
&+\int_s^t\left(\int_{\varepsilon\leqslant|w|\leqslant|x-y|/2}\int_0^1 \frac{R(t,x;s,y;\theta w)}{|w|^d}\mathrm{d}\theta\mathrm{d}w\right)^p\mathrm{d}r.
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (3.27), (3.28) and by (2.7), as in proving (3.25), one has that for some $p>1$,

$$
\sup_{\varepsilon\leqslant|x-y|/2} J_1(p,\varepsilon)<+\infty.
$$

For $J_2(p)$, we have by (3.13) that for some $p>1$,

$$
J_2(p)\leqslant \int_s^t\left|\int_{|w|>|x-y|/2}\frac{|\phi_{s,y}(t,x+w,r)|+|\phi_{s,y}(t,x,r)|}{|w|^{d+1}}\mathrm{d}w\right|^p\mathrm{d}r<+\infty.
$$

Thus (3.29) is proven.

(4) Now by (3.29), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi(t,x) &= \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\int_{|w|\geqslant\varepsilon}\int_s^t \frac{\phi_{s,y}(t,x+w,r)-\phi_{s,y}(t,x,r)}{|w|^{d+1}}\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}w\\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\int_s^t\int_{|w|\geqslant\varepsilon} \frac{\phi_{s,y}(t,x+w,r)-\phi_{s,y}(t,x,r)}{|w|^{d+1}}\mathrm{d}w\mathrm{d}r\\
&= \int_s^t\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\int_{|w|\geqslant\varepsilon} \frac{\phi_{s,y}(t,x+w,r)-\phi_{s,y}(t,x,r)}{|w|^{d+1}}\mathrm{d}w\mathrm{d}r = \int_s^t \Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi_{s,y}(t,x,r)\mathrm{d}r,
\end{aligned}
$$

which together with (3.24) yields (3.22). □

Now we prove the following main result of this section.

Theorem 3.6. *Assume that $a,b\in\mathbb{H}^\beta$ for some $\beta\in(0,\frac{1}{4}]$ and satisfy (2.16). Then there exists a unique nonnegative continuous function $p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y)$ with*

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y)\mathrm{d}y = 1, \tag{3.30}
$$

and satisfying that

(i) For all $x\neq y\in\mathbb{R}^d$ and almost all $t>s$,

$$
\partial_t p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y) = \mathscr{L}^x_{a,b}(t,x)p_{a,b}(t,\cdot;s,y)(x). \tag{3.31}
$$

(ii) For any bounded continuous function f,

$$
\lim_{t\downarrow s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y)f(y)\mathrm{d}y = f(x), \tag{3.32}
$$

and

$$\lim_{t\downarrow s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y)f(x)\mathrm{d}x = f(y). \tag{3.33}$$

(iii) For all $0\leqslant s<t\leqslant 1$ *and* $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d$,

$$|p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y)|\le \varrho^0_1(t,x;s,y); \tag{3.34}$$

and in the case of $a(t,x)=a(t)$ *independent of* x*, we have*

$$p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y)\ge \varrho^0_1(t,x;s,y). \tag{3.35}$$

(iv) For any $\gamma\in(0,1)$,

$$|p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y)-p_{a,b}(t,x';s,y)|\le (|x-x'|^\gamma\wedge 1)\Big\{\varrho^0_{1-\gamma}(t,x;s,y)+\varrho^0_{1-\gamma}(t,x';s,y)\Big\}, \tag{3.36}$$

and

$$|\nabla_x p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y)|+|\Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}}p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y)|\le \varrho^0_0(t,x;s,y). \tag{3.37}$$

(v) If $a,b\in C([0,\infty);L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d))$*, then for all* $f,g\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\lim_{t\downarrow s}\frac{1}{t-s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x)\Big(P^{a,b}_{t,s}f(x)-f(x)\Big)\mathrm{d}x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x)\mathscr{L}^x_{a,b}(s,x)f(x)\mathrm{d}x,\quad s\geqslant 0, \tag{3.38}$$

where

$$P^{a,b}_{t,s}f(x):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y)f(y)\mathrm{d}y.$$

Proof. (i) First, we prove (3.31). By equation (3.1), we have that for all $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d$ and almost all $t>s$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y) &\overset{(3.14)}{=} \partial_t p_0(t,x;s,y)+q(t,x;s,y)+\int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\mathscr{L}^x_{a,b}(t,y)p_0(t,\cdot;r,z)(x)q(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r\\
&\overset{(2.15)}{=} \mathscr{L}^x_{a,b}(t,y)p_0(t,\cdot;s,y)(x)+q_0(t,x;s,y)+\int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} q_0(t,x;r,z)q(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r\\
&\quad+\int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\mathscr{L}^x_{a,b}(t,y)p_0(t,\cdot;r,z)(x)q(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r.
\end{aligned}$$

Recalling that

$$q_0(t,x;s,y)=\left(\mathscr{L}^x_{a,b}(t,x)-\mathscr{L}^x_{a,b}(t,y)\right)p_0(t,\cdot;s,y)(x), \tag{3.39}$$

we further have

$$\partial_t p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y)=\mathscr{L}^x_{a,b}(t,x)p_0(t,\cdot;s,y)(x)+\int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\mathscr{L}^x_{a,b}(t,x)p_0(t,\cdot;r,z)(x)q(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r,$$

which together with (3.21) and (3.22) yields (3.31).

(ii) We prove (3.32) and (3.30). As in proving (3.20), we can prove that for any bounded continuous function f,

$$\lim_{t\downarrow s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_0(t,x;s,y)f(y)\mathrm{d}y=f(x),$$

and

$$\lim_{t\downarrow s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_0(t,x;s,y)f(x)\mathrm{d}x=f(y).$$

Moreover, by (3.13) we also have

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_0(t,x;r,z)q(r,z;s,y)f(y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}y\right|+\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_0(t,x;r,z)q(r,z;s,y)f(x)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}x\right|$$

$$\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big(\varrho^0_{1+\beta}(t,x;s,y) + \varrho^\beta_1(t,x;s,y)\Big)(\mathrm{d}y + \mathrm{d}x) \overset{(2.2)}{\le} |t-s|^\beta \to 0,\ t \downarrow s.$$

Thus, (3.32) and (3.33) are proven by equation (3.1).

For proving (3.30), if we set $u_s(t,x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y)\mathrm{d}y$, then by (3.31) and (3.32),

$$\partial_t u_s(t,x) = \mathscr{L}^x_{a,b}(t,x)u_s(t,x), \quad \lim_{t\downarrow s} u_s(t,x) = 1.$$

By the maximal principal of nonlocal equation (cf. [23] or [24, Theorem 2.3]), it follows that

$$u_s(t,x) \equiv 1, \quad t > s, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

(iii) By (3.13) one has

$$\int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_0(t,x;r,z)|q(r,z;s,y)|\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r \le \varrho^0_{1+\beta}(t,x;s,y) + \varrho^\beta_1(t,x;s,y) \leqslant \varrho^0_1(t,x;s,y), \tag{3.40}$$

which in turn gives estimate (3.34) by equation (3.1) and (2.17).

In the case of $a(t,x) = a(t)$, by (3.1) and (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |p(t,x;s,y) - p_0(t,x;s,y)| &\le \int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_0(t,x;r,z)q(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r \\ &\le \int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho^0_1(t,x;r,z)\varrho^0_\beta(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r \\ &\le |t-s|^\beta \varrho^0_1(t,x;s,y) \leqslant \Lambda|t-s|^\beta p_0(t,x;s,y), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda > 0$ is a constant independent of t,s,x,y. Choosing $T_0 \in (0,1)$ such that $|t-s|^\beta < \frac{1}{2\Lambda}$ for all $0 \leqslant s < t \leqslant T_0$, we obtain (3.35) for small time by (2.17). For the large time, it follows by a standard time shift argument (see [3, 20]).

(iv) As in proving (3.8), we have for any $\gamma \in (0,1)$,

$$|p_0(t,x;s,y) - p_0(t,x';s,y)| \le (|x-x'|^\gamma \wedge 1)\Big(\varrho^0_{1-\gamma}(t,x;s,y) + \varrho^0_{1-\gamma}(t,x';s,y)\Big).$$

Thus, by (3.10) and Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |p_0(t,x;r,z) - p_0(t,x';r,z)|q(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r \\ &\le \Big(|x-x'|^\gamma \wedge 1\Big) \int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big(\varrho^0_{1-\gamma}(t,x;r,z) + \varrho^0_{1-\gamma}(t,x';r,z)\Big)(\varrho^0_\beta + \varrho^\beta_0)(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r \\ &\le \Big(|x-x'|^\gamma \wedge 1\Big)\Big((\varrho^0_{1+\beta-\gamma} + \varrho^\beta_{1-\gamma})(t,x;s,y) + (\varrho^0_{1+\beta-\gamma} + \varrho^\beta_{1-\gamma})(t,x';s,y)\Big) \\ &\le (|x-x'|^\gamma \wedge 1)\Big(\varrho^0_{1-\gamma}(t,x;s,y) + \varrho^0_{1-\gamma}(t,x';s,y)\Big), \end{aligned}$$

which together with equation (3.1) yields (3.36).

Next, we prove (3.37). By (3.21), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_x \varphi(t,x) = &\int_{\frac{t+s}{2}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x p_0(t,x;r,z)\Big(q(r,z;s,y) - q(r,x;s,y)\Big)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r \\ &+ \int_{\frac{t+s}{2}}^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x p_0(t,x;r,z)\mathrm{d}z\right) q(r,x;s,y)\mathrm{d}r \\ &+ \int_s^{\frac{t+s}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x p_0(t,x;r,z)q(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r \\ =:\ & Q_1(t,x;s,y) + Q_2(t,x;s,y) + Q_3(t,x;s,y). \end{aligned}$$

For $Q_1(t,x;s,y)$, by (2.19), (3.11) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|Q_1(t,x;s,y)| &\preceq \int_{\frac{t+s}{2}}^{t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\varrho_0^{\beta-\gamma}(t,x;r,z)\Big\{(\varrho_\gamma^0+\varrho_{\gamma-\beta}^\beta)(r,x;s,y)+(\varrho_\gamma^0+\varrho_{\gamma-\beta}^\beta)(r,z;s,y)\Big\}\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r\\
&\preceq \int_{\frac{t+s}{2}}^{t}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\varrho_0^{\beta-\gamma}(t,x;r,z)\mathrm{d}z\right)(\varrho_\gamma^0+\varrho_{\gamma-\beta}^\beta)(r,x;s,y)\mathrm{d}r\\
&\quad+\int_s^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\varrho_0^{\beta-\gamma}(t,x;r,z)(\varrho_\gamma^0+\varrho_{\gamma-\beta}^\beta)(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r\\
&\overset{(2.2)(2.6)}{\preceq}\left(\int_{\frac{t+s}{2}}^{t}(t-r)^{\beta-\gamma-1}(1+(r-s)^{\gamma-\beta})\varrho_0^0(r,x;s,y)\mathrm{d}r\right)\\
&\quad+(\varrho_\beta^0+\varrho_0^\beta+\varrho_\gamma^{\beta-\gamma})(t,x;s,y)\preceq\varrho_0^0(t,x;s,y).
\end{aligned}
$$

For $Q_2(t,x;s,y)$, we have

$$
|Q_2(t,x;s,y)|\overset{(2.23)(3.10)}{\preceq}\int_{\frac{t+s}{2}}^{t}(t-r)^{\beta-1}\Big\{\varrho_0^\beta(r,x;s,y)+\varrho_\beta^0(r,x;s,y)\Big\}\mathrm{d}r\preceq\varrho_0^0(t,x;s,y).
$$

For $Q_3(t,x;s,y)$, we have

$$
|Q_3(t,x;s,y)|\overset{(2.19)(3.10)}{\preceq}\int_s^{\frac{t+s}{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\varrho_0^0(t,x;r,z)\Big\{\varrho_0^\beta(r,z;s,y)+\varrho_\beta^0(r,z;s,y)\Big\}\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r\overset{(2.5)(2.2)}{\preceq}\varrho_0^0(t,x;s,y).
$$

Combining the above calculations, we obtain

$$
|\nabla_x\varphi(t,x)|\preceq\varrho_0^0(t,x;s,y). \tag{3.41}
$$

Similarly,

$$
|\Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi(t,x)|\preceq\varrho_0^0(t,x;s,y). \tag{3.42}
$$

Estimate (3.37) then follows by equation (3.1), (2.18), (2.19) and (3.41), (3.42).

(v) For $f\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, by (3.31) and (3.32), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{P_{t,s}^{a,b}f(x)-f(x)}{t-s}-\mathscr{L}_{a,b}^x(s,x)f(x)&=\frac{1}{t-s}\int_s^t(a(r,x)-a(s,x))\Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}}P_{r,s}^{a,b}f(x)\mathrm{d}r\\
&\quad+\frac{a(s,x)}{t-s}\int_s^t\Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}}(P_{r,s}^{a,b}f(x)-f(x))\mathrm{d}r\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{t-s}\int_s^t(b(r,x)-b(s,x))\cdot\nabla_xP_{r,s}^{a,b}f(x)\mathrm{d}r\\
&\quad+\frac{b(s,x)}{t-s}\cdot\int_s^t\nabla_x(P_{r,s}^{a,b}f(x)-f(x))\mathrm{d}r\\
&=:I_1(t,s,x)+I_2(t,s,x)+I_3(t,s,x)+I_4(t,s,x).
\end{aligned}
$$

We have the following claim: for $t>s$,

$$
\sup_{r\in[s,t]}\|\Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}}P_{r,s}^{a,b}f\|_\infty+\sup_{r\in[s,t]}\|\nabla_xP_{r,s}^{a,b}f\|_\infty<+\infty, \tag{3.43}
$$

Proof of Claim: By Lemma 3.5 and (3.30), we have

$$
\Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}}P_{r,s}^{a,b}f(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}}p_{a,b}(r,x;s,y)f(y)\mathrm{d}y=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}}p_{a,b}(r,x;s,y)(f(y)-f(x))\mathrm{d}y
$$

and

$$\nabla_x P^{a,b}_{r,s} f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x p_{a,b}(r,x;s,y) f(y)\mathrm{d}y = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x p_{a,b}(r,x;s,y)(f(y)-f(x))\mathrm{d}y.$$

Hence, by (3.37) we have

$$|\Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}} P^{a,b}_{r,s} f(x)| + |\nabla_x P^{a,b}_{r,s} f(x)| \leqslant C\|f\|_{\mathrm{Lip}} \int_s^t \varrho_0^1(r,x;s,y)\mathrm{d}y \overset{(2.2)}{\leqslant} C,$$

which gives (3.43).

For $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, since $a, b \in C([0,\infty); L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, by (3.43) we have

$$\lim_{t\downarrow s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x)(I_1(t,s,x) + I_3(t,s,x))\mathrm{d}x = 0.$$

Let $a_n(x) \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |a_n(x) - a(s,x)| = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then by (3.43), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{t\downarrow s} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x) I_2(t,s,x)\mathrm{d}x \right| &\leqslant \lim_{n\to\infty} \lim_{t\downarrow s} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x) \frac{a_n(x) - a(s,x)}{t-s} \int_s^t \Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}} (P^{a,b}_{r,s} f(x) - f(x))\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}x \right| \\
&\quad + \lim_{n\to\infty} \lim_{t\downarrow s} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x) \frac{a_n(x)}{t-s} \int_s^t \Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}} (P^{a,b}_{r,s} f(x) - f(x))\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}x \right| \\
&\le \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g(x)| \cdot |a_n(x) - a(s,x)|\mathrm{d}x \\
&\quad + \lim_{n\to\infty} \lim_{t\downarrow s} \left| \frac{1}{t-s} \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_x^{\frac{1}{2}} (g a_n)(x)(P^{a,b}_{r,s} f(x) - f(x))\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}r \right|,
\end{aligned}$$

which converges to zero by (3.32). Similarly, we also have

$$\lim_{t\downarrow s} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x) I_4(t,s,x)\mathrm{d}x \right| = 0.$$

Combining the above calculations, we obtain (3.38).

(vi) Lastly, we show the uniqueness. For $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $t \geqslant s$, set

$$u_{s,y}(t,x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y) f(y)\mathrm{d}y.$$

Let us first show the following claim: For any $T > s$ and $p > 1$,

$$\sup_{t\in[s,T]} \Big(\|u_{s,y}(t)\|_p + \|\nabla u_{s,y}(t)\|_p \Big) < +\infty. \tag{3.44}$$

Proof of Claim: By Young's inequality for convolution, it follows that

$$\|u_{s,y}(t)\|_p^p \overset{(3.34)}{\le} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho_1^0(t,x;s,y)|f(y)|\mathrm{d}y \right)^p \mathrm{d}x \overset{(2.2)}{\le} \|f\|_p.$$

We next prove $\sup_{t\in[s,T]} \|\nabla u_{s,y}(t)\|_p < +\infty$. Let the support of f be contained in the ball $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| \leqslant N\}$. We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y) f(y)\mathrm{d}y \right|^p \mathrm{d}x \le I_1 + I_2,$$

where

$$I_1 := \int_{|x|\geqslant 2N}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\nabla_x p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y)f(y)\mathrm{d}y\right|^p\mathrm{d}x,$$
$$I_2 := \int_{|x|<2N}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\nabla_x p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y)f(y)\mathrm{d}y\right|^p\mathrm{d}x.$$

For I_1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 &= \int_{|x|\geqslant 2N}\left|\int_{|y|\leqslant N}\nabla_x p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y)f(y)\mathrm{d}y\right|^p\mathrm{d}x\\ &\leqslant C_N\int_{|x|\geqslant 2N}\int_{|y|\leqslant N}|\nabla_x p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y)|^p|f(y)|^p\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}x\\ &\leqslant C_N\|f\|_\infty^p\int_{|y|\leqslant N}\int_{|x-y|\geqslant N}|\nabla_x p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y)|^p\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y\\ &\overset{(3.37)}{\leqslant} C_N\|f\|_\infty^p\int_{|y|\leqslant N}\int_{|x-y|\geqslant N}\frac{1}{|x-y|^{(d+1)p}}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y<+\infty.\end{aligned}$$

For I_2, by (3.43) we have

$$I_2\leqslant\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\nabla_x p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y)f(y)\mathrm{d}y\right|^p\left(\int_{|x|<2N}\mathrm{d}x\right)<+\infty.$$

The claim is proven.

Let $p'_{a,b}(t,x;s,y)$ be another function satisfying (3.30)-(3.37). We want to prove that for any $f\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $t>s$,

$$\tilde{u}_{s,y}(t,x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y)f(y)\mathrm{d}y-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}p'_{a,b}(t,x;s,y)f(y)\mathrm{d}y=0.$$

In view of $\lim_{t\downarrow s}u_{s,y}(t,x)=0$, by (3.31) we have

$$\tilde{u}_{s,y}(t,x)=\int_s^t\mathscr{L}^x_{a,b}(r,x)\tilde{u}_{s,y}(r,\cdot)(x)\mathrm{d}r.$$

The uniqueness follows by (3.44) and [24, Lemma 3.1]. □

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

By Duhamel's formula, we construct the heat kernel $p(t,x;s,y)$ of $\mathscr{L}(t,x)$ by solving the following integral equation:

$$p(t,x;s,y)=p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y)+\int_s^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}p_{a,b}(t,x;r,z)c(r,z)p(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r. \tag{4.1}$$

For $t>s\geqslant 0$ and $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d$, set $\Theta_0(t,x;s,y):=p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y)$, and define recursively for $n\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\Theta_n(t,x;s,y):=\int_s^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}p_{a,b}(t,x;r,z)c(r,z)\Theta_{n-1}(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r. \tag{4.2}$$

For $\gamma\in(0,1]$ and $c\in\mathbb{K}_d^\gamma$, define

$$\ell^c_\gamma(\varepsilon):=\sup_{(t,x)\in[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d}\int_0^\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\varrho^0_\gamma(s,z)(|c(t-s,x-z)|+|c(t+s,x+z)|)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}s.$$

Lemma 4.1. *If $c \in \mathbb{K}_d^1$, then there exists a constant $\Lambda > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$|\Theta_n(t,x;s,y)| \leqslant \{\Lambda \ell_1^c(t-s)\}^n \varrho_1^0(t,x;s,y). \tag{4.3}$$

If $c \in \mathbb{K}_d^{1-\gamma}$ for some $\gamma \in (0,1)$, then there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}|\Theta_n(t,x;s,y) - \Theta_n(t,x';s,y)| \leqslant C_1(|x-x'|^\gamma \wedge 1)\{\Lambda \ell_1^c(t-s)\}^{n-1}\ell_{1-\gamma}^c(t-s) \\ \times (\varrho_1^0(t,x;s,y) + \varrho_1^0(t,x';s,y)).\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

If $c \in \mathbb{H}^{\beta'}$ for some $\beta' \in (0,1)$, then there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|\nabla_x \Theta_n(t,x;s,y)| \leqslant C_2\{\Lambda \|c\|_\infty (t-s)\}^n \varrho_0^0(t,x;s,y). \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. (1) First of all, by (3.34), we have for some $C_0 > 0$,

$$p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y) \leqslant C_0 \varrho_1^0(t,x;s,y).$$

Now we use induction to prove (4.3). Suppose that (4.3) is true for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}|\Theta_{n+1}(t,x;s,y)| &\leqslant \int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{a,b}(t,x;r,z)|c(r,z)| \cdot |\Theta_n(r,z;s,y)| \mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r \\ &\leqslant C_0\{\Lambda_1 \ell_1^c(t-s)\}^n \int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho_1^0(t,x;r,z)\varrho_1^0(r,z;s,y)|c(r,z)|\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r \\ &\overset{(2.3)}{\leqslant} \Lambda_1\{\Lambda_1 \ell_1^c(t-s)\}^n \int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varrho_1^0(t,x;r,z) + \varrho_1^0(r,z;s,y))|c(r,z)|\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r \varrho_1^0(t,x;s,y) \\ &\leqslant \{\Lambda_1 \ell_1^c(t-s)\}^{n+1} \varrho_1^0(t,x;s,y).\end{aligned}$$

(2) By (4.2) and (3.36), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&|\Theta_n(t,x;s,y) - \Theta_n(t,x';s,y)| \\ &\quad\le (|x-x'|^\gamma \wedge 1)\int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big(\varrho_{1-\gamma}^0(t,x;r,z) + \varrho_{1-\gamma}^0(t,x';r,z)\Big)|c(r,z)| \cdot |\Theta_{n-1}(r,z;s,y)|\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r \\ &\quad\overset{(4.3)}{\le} (|x-x'|^\gamma \wedge 1)\{\Lambda \ell_1^c(t-s)\}^{n-1} \\ &\qquad\quad \times \int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big(\varrho_{1-\gamma}^0(t,x;r,z) + \varrho_{1-\gamma}^0(t,x';r,z)\Big)|c(r,z)|\varrho_1^0(r,z;s,y)|\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r \\ &\quad\overset{(2.8)}{\le} (|x-x'|^\gamma \wedge 1)\{\Lambda \ell_1^c(t-s)\}^{n-1} \\ &\qquad\quad \times \bigg\{\int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (t-r)^{1-\gamma}(r-s)\Big(\varrho_0^0(t,x;r,z) + \varrho_0^0(r,z;s,y)\Big)|c(r,z)|\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r\rho_0^0(t,x;s,y) \\ &\qquad\quad + \int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (t-r)^{1-\gamma}(r-s)\Big(\varrho_0^0(t,x';r,z) + \varrho_0^0(r,z;s,y)\Big)|c(r,z)|\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r\rho_0^0(t,x';s,y)\bigg\} \\ &\quad\leqslant C_1(|x-x'|^\gamma \wedge 1)\{\Lambda \ell_1^c(t-s)\}^{n-1} \\ &\qquad\quad \times \bigg\{\int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big(\varrho_{1-\gamma}^0(t,x;r,z) + \varrho_{1-\gamma}^0(r,z;s,y)\Big)|c(r,z)|\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r\rho_1^0(t,x;s,y) \\ &\qquad\quad + \int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big(\varrho_{1-\gamma}^0(t,x';r,z) + \varrho_{1-\gamma}^0(r,z;s,y)\Big)|c(r,z)|\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r\rho_1^0(t,x';s,y)\bigg\} \\ &\quad\leqslant C_1(|x-x'|^\gamma \wedge 1)\{\Lambda \ell_1^c(t-s)\}^{n-1}\ell_{1-\gamma}^c(t-s)(\varrho_1^0(t,x;s,y) + \varrho_1^0(t,x';s,y)).\end{aligned}$$

(3) If c is bounded, by definition and (2.2), it is easy to see that for some $C_1 > 0$,

$$\ell^c_\gamma(\varepsilon) \leqslant C_1\|c\|_\infty \varepsilon^\gamma,\ \ \varepsilon > 0. \tag{4.6}$$

As in Lemma 3.5, one can prove

$$\nabla_x \Theta_n(t,x;s,y) = \int_s^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x p_{a,b}(t,x;r,z)c(r,z)\Theta_{n-1}(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r.$$

By (3.30), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_x \Theta_n(t,x;s,y) &= \int_{\frac{t+s}{2}}^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x p_{a,b}(t,x;r,z)\Big(c(r,z)\Theta_{n-1}(r,z;s,y) - c(r,x)\Theta_{n-1}(r,x;s,y)\Big)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r\\
&\quad + \int_s^{\frac{t+s}{2}}\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x p_{a,b}(t,x;r,z)c(r,z)\Theta_{n-1}(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r\\
&= \int_{\frac{t+s}{2}}^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x p_{a,b}(t,x;r,z)c(r,z)\Big(\Theta_{n-1}(r,z;s,y) - \Theta_{n-1}(r,x;s,y)\Big)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r\\
&\quad + \int_{\frac{t+s}{2}}^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x p_{a,b}(t,x;r,z)(c(r,z)-c(r,x))\mathrm{d}z\right)\Theta_{n-1}(r,x;s,y)\mathrm{d}r\\
&\quad + \int_s^{\frac{t+s}{2}}\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x p_{a,b}(t,x;r,z)c(r,z)\Theta_{n-1}(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r\\
&=: Q_1(t,x;s,y) + Q_2(t,x;s,y) + Q_3(t,x;s,y).
\end{aligned}$$

For $Q_1(t,x;s,y)$, by (4.6) and (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1(t,x;s,y) &\preceq \{\Lambda\|c\|_\infty(t-s)\}^{n-1}\int_{\frac{t+s}{2}}^t\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho_0^\gamma(t,x;r,z)\varrho^0_{1-\gamma}(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r\\
&\quad + \{\Lambda\|c\|_\infty(t-s)\}^{n-1}\int_{\frac{t+s}{2}}^t\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\varrho_0^\gamma(t,x;r,z)\mathrm{d}z\right)\varrho^0_{1-\gamma}(r,x;s,y)\mathrm{d}r\\
&\overset{(2.5)(2.2)}{\preceq} \{\Lambda\|c\|_\infty(t-s)\}^{n}\varrho^0_0(t,x;s,y).
\end{aligned}$$

For $Q_2(t,x;s,y)$, by (4.6) and (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_2(t,x;s,y) &\preceq \{\Lambda\|c\|_\infty(t-s)\}^{n-1}\int_{\frac{t+s}{2}}^t\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\varrho_0^{\beta'}(t,x;r,z)\mathrm{d}z\right)\varrho^0_1(r,x;s,y)\mathrm{d}r\\
&\preceq \{\Lambda\|c\|_\infty(t-s)\}^{n-1}\left(\int_{\frac{t+s}{2}}^t (t-r)^{\beta'-1}(r-s)\mathrm{d}r\right)\varrho^0_0(t,x;s,y)\\
&\preceq \{\Lambda\|c\|_\infty(t-s)\}^{n}\varrho^0_0(t,x;s,y).
\end{aligned}$$

For $Q_3(t,x;s,y)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_3(t,x;s,y) &\preceq \{\Lambda\|c\|_\infty(t-s)\}^{n-1}\int_s^{\frac{t+s}{2}}\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\varrho^0_0(t,x;r,z)\varrho^0_1(r,z;s,y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r\\
&\preceq \{\Lambda\|c\|_\infty(t-s)\}^{n-1}\left(\int_s^{\frac{t+s}{2}}((r-s)(t-r)^{-1}+1)\mathrm{d}r\right)\varrho^0_0(t,x;s,y)\\
&\preceq \{\Lambda\|c\|_\infty(t-s)\}^{n}\varrho^0_0(t,x;s,y).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above calculations, we obtain (4.5). □

Now we are in a position to give

Proof of Theorem1.1. By the standard time shift technique, it suffices to prove the conclusions on a small time interval. We divide the proof in several steps.

(1) Define

$$p(t,x;s,y) = p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Theta_n(t,x;s,y).$$

By virtue of $c \in \mathbb{K}_d^1$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} \ell_1^c(\varepsilon) = 0.$$

Hence, for any given $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, one can choose $T_\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ small enough such that for all $0 \leqslant s < t \leqslant T_\varepsilon$,

$$\ell_1^c(t-s) \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{\Lambda_1}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |p(t,x;s,y) - p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y)| \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Theta_n(t,x;s,y)| &\leqslant \frac{\Lambda_1 \ell_1^c(t-s)}{1-\Lambda \ell_1^c(t-s)} \varrho_1^0(t,x;s,y) \\ &\leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \varrho_1^0(t,x;s,y), \end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.34) gives (1.5) for $0 \leqslant s < t \leqslant T_\varepsilon$. Moreover, noticing that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m} \Theta_n(t,x;s,y) = p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y) + \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{a,b}(t,x;r,z) c(r,z) \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \Theta_n(r,z;s,y) \mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r,$$

by taking limits, we obtain equation (4.1). In the case of $a(t,x) = a(t)$, by (3.35), if we let ε be small enough, we also have (1.6). Moreover, estimates (1.7) and (1.8) follow by (4.4), (3.36) and (4.5), (3.37).

(2) Define

$$P_{t,s} f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t,x;s,y) f(y) \mathrm{d}y$$

and

$$P_{t,s}^{a,b} f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{a,b}(t,x;s,y) f(y) \mathrm{d}y.$$

For proving (1.2), it suffices to prove that for any $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$P_{t,s} f(x) = P_{t,r} P_{r,s} f(x), \quad s < r < t. \tag{4.7}$$

By (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{t,s} f(x) &= P_{t,s}^{a,b} f(x) + \int_s^t P_{t,r'}^{a,b}\Big(c(r') P_{r',s} f\Big)(x) \mathrm{d}r' \\ &= P_{t,r}^{a,b} P_{r,s}^{a,b} f(x) + \int_s^r P_{t,r}^{a,b} P_{r,r'}^{a,b}\Big(c(r') P_{r',s} f\Big)(x) \mathrm{d}r' + \int_r^t P_{t,r'}^{a,b}\Big(c(r') P_{r',s} f\Big)(x) \mathrm{d}r' \\ &= P_{t,r}^{a,b} P_{r,s} f(x) + \int_r^t P_{t,r'}^{a,b}\Big(c(r') P_{r',s} f\Big)(x) \mathrm{d}r'. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$P_{t,r} P_{r,s} f(x) = P_{t,r}^{a,b} P_{r,s} f(x) + \int_r^t P_{t,r'}^{a,b}\Big(c(r') P_{r',r} P_{r,s} f\Big)(x) \mathrm{d}r'.$$

Fix $s < r$ and set

$$u_t(x) := P_{t,r} P_{r,s} f(x) - P_{t,s} f(x).$$

Then we have

$$u_t(x) = \int_r^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{a,b}(t,x;r',y)c(r',y)u_{r'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}r'.$$

By (3.34), we have

$$\|u_t\|_\infty \leqslant \sup_{r'\in[r,t]} \|u_{r'}\|_\infty \int_r^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho_1^0(t,x;r',y)|c(r',y)|\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}r' = \ell_1^c(t-r) \sup_{r'\in[r,t]} \|u_{r'}\|_\infty,$$

which implies that

$$\sup_{r'\in[r,t]} \|u_{r'}\|_\infty \leqslant \sup_{\varepsilon\in(0,t-r]} \ell_1^c(\varepsilon) \sup_{r'\in[r,t]} \|u_{r'}\|_\infty.$$

In particular, if $t-r$ is small enough (say less than ε_0), then

$$\sup_{r'\in[r,t]} \|u_{r'}\|_\infty = 0.$$

Thus, we obtain (4.7) for $t-r<\varepsilon_0$. For general t, it follows by repeatedly using (4.7).

(3) We prove (1.3). By (4.1) and (3.32), we only need to prove that for any $f\in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\lim_{t\downarrow s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{a,b}(t,x;r,z)c(r,z)p(r,z;s,y)f(y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}y = 0.$$

This limit follows by noticing that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{a,b}(t,x;r,z)c(r,z)p(r,z;s,y)f(y)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}y\right| \\
&\quad\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho_1^0(t,x;r,z)|c(r,z)|\varrho_1^0(r,z;s,y)|f(y)|\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}y \\
&\quad\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varrho_1^0(t,x;r,z)+\varrho_1^0(r,z;s,y))c(r,z)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r\right)\varrho_1^0(t,x;s,y)\mathrm{d}y \\
&\quad\le \ell_1^c(t-s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho_1^0(t,x;s,y)\mathrm{d}y \overset{(2.2)}{\leqslant} C\ell_1^c(t-s) \to 0,\ t\downarrow s.
\end{aligned}$$

(4) Let $f,g\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By definitions, we make the following decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{P_{t,s}f(x)-f(x)}{t-s} - \mathscr{L}f(x) &= \frac{1}{t-s}\int_s^t \Big(P_{t,r}^{a,b}(c(r)P_{r,s}f)(x) - c(r,x)P_{r,s}f(x)\Big)\mathrm{d}r \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{t-s}\int_s^t \Big(c(r,x)-c(s,x)\Big)P_{r,s}f(x)\mathrm{d}r \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{t-s}\int_s^t c(s,x)\Big(P_{r,s}f(x)-f(x)\Big)\mathrm{d}r \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{P_{t,s}^{a,b}f(x)-f(x)}{t-s} - \mathscr{L}_{a,b}^x(s,x)f(x)\right) \\
&=: I_1(t,s,x)+I_2(t,s,x)+I_3(t,s,x)+I_4(t,s,x).
\end{aligned}$$

For $I_1(t,s,x)$, if we write

$$(P_{t,r}^{a,b})^*g(y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{a,b}(t,x;r,y)g(x)\mathrm{d}x,$$

then

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x)I_1(t,s,x)\mathrm{d}x\right| \leqslant \left|\frac{1}{t-s}\int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big((P_{t,r}^{a,b})^*g(x) - (P_{t,r}^{a,b})^*1(x)\cdot g(x)\Big)c(r,x)P_{r,s}f(x)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}r\right|$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\left|\frac{1}{t-s}\int_s^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big((P^{a,b}_{t,r})^*1-1\Big)(x)g(x)c(r,x)P_{r,s}f(x)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}r\right|\\
&=: J_1(t,s)+J_2(t,s).
\end{aligned}
$$

For $J_1(t,s)$, noticing that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|(P^{a,b}_{t,r})^*g(y)-(P^{a,b}_{t,r})^*1(y)\cdot g(y)| &= \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{a,b}(t,x;r,y)(g(x)-g(y))\mathrm{d}x\right|\\
&\overset{(3.34)}{\leqslant} C\|g\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\varrho^0_1(t,x;r,y)(|x-y|\wedge 1)\mathrm{d}x\\
&\overset{(2.2)}{\leqslant} C\|g\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}|t-r|,
\end{aligned}
$$

by definition of $P_{r,s}f$ and (1.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_1(t,s) &\leqslant C\|g\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}\int_s^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|c(r,x)|\cdot|P_{r,s}f(x)|\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}r\\
&\leqslant C\|g\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}\int_s^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|c(r,x)|\varrho^0_1(r,x;s,y)|f(y)|\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}r\\
&\leqslant C\|g\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}\ell^c_1(t-s)\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|f(y)|\mathrm{d}y\to 0,\quad t\downarrow s.
\end{aligned}
$$

For $J_2(t,s)$, since $c\in C([0,\infty);L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, by (3.33) and the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$
\lim_{t\downarrow s}J_2(t,s)=0.
$$

It is the same reason that

$$
\lim_{t\downarrow s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}g(x)(I_2(t,s,x)+I_3(t,s,x))\mathrm{d}x=0.
$$

Moreover, if $a,b\in C([0,\infty);L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, by (3.38) we have

$$
\lim_{t\downarrow s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}g(x)I_4(t,s,x)\mathrm{d}x=0.
$$

Combining the above limits, we obtain (1.4). The whole proof is complete. □

Acknowledgements:

The authors would like to thank Professors Zhen-Qing Chen, Renming Song and Feng-Yu Wang for their quite useful conversations. This work is supported by NSFs of China (No. 11271294) and Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET-10-0654).

References

[1] Aizenman M. and Simon B.: Brownian motion and Harnack inequaity for Schrödinger operators. Comm. on Pure and Applied Math. Vol. XXXV, 209-273(1982).

[2] Barrios B., Colorado E., Pablo A.de, Sánchez U.: On some critical problems for the fractional Laplacian operator. J. Diffenertial Equations., 252(2012), 6133-6162.

[3] Bogdan K., Jakubowski T. : Estimates of Heat Kernel of Fractional Laplacian Perturbed by Gradient Operator. Commun. Math. Phys., 271(2007), 179-198.

[4] Bogdan K., Jakubowski T. : Estimates of Green Function for the Fractional Laplacian Perturbed by Gradient. Potential Anal., 36(2012), 455-481.

[5] Caffarelli L. and Vasseur A.: Drift diffusion equations with fractional diffusion and the quasigeostrophic equation. Annals of Math., Vol. 171, No. 3, 1903-1930(2010).

[6] Chen Z.Q., Kim P. and Song R.: Dirichlet heat kernel estimates for fractional Laplacian with gradient perturbation. arXiv:1011.3273v1.

[7] Chen Z.Q., Kim P. and Song R.: Stability of Dirichlet heat kernel estimates for non-local operators under Feynmman-Kac perturbation. arXiv:1112.3401v1.

[8] Chen Z.Q. and Kumagai T.: Heat kernel estimates for stable-like processes on d-sets. Stochastic Processes and their Applications. 108(2003)-27-62.

[9] Chen Z.Q. and Song R: Estimates on Green functions and Possion kernels for symmetric stable processes. Math. Ann., 312(3), 465-501(1998).

[10] Friedman A.: Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1975.

[11] Jakubowski T. and Szczypkowski K.: Estimates of gradient perturbation series. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 389(2012), 452-460.

[12] Jakubowski T. and Szczypkowski K.: Time-dependent gradient perturbations of fractional Laplacian. J. Evol. Equ., 10(2010), 319-339.

[13] Kiselev A., Nazarov F., Schterenberg R.: Blow up and regularity for fractal Burgers equation. Dynamics of PDE, Vol. 5, No. 3, 211-240, 2008.

[14] Kiselev A., Nazarov F. and Volberg A.: Global well-posedness for the critical 2D dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation. Inven. Math., Vol. 167, 445-453(2007).

[15] Ladyženskaja O.A., Solonnikov V.A., Ural'ceva N.N.: Linear and Quasi-Linear Equations of Parabolic Type, translated from the Russian by S.Smith. American Mathematical Society, 1968.

[16] Priola E.: Pathwise uniqueness for singular SDEs driven by stable processes. http://arxiv.org/abs/1005.4237.

[17] Silvestre L.: On the differentiability of the solution to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation with critical fractional diffusion. arXiv:0911.5147v2, Advance in Math (2011).

[18] Silvestre L.: Hölder estimates for advection fractional-diffusion equations. Arxiv: 1009.5723v2, 2011. Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa. Classe di Scienze (2011).

[19] Stein E.M.: Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions, Princeton, N. J., Princeton University Press, 1970.

[20] Wang F.Y. and Zhang X.: Heat Kernel for Fractional Diffusion Operators with Perturbations. http://arxiv.org/abs/1204.4956. To appear in Forum Mathematicum.

[21] Yosida K.: Functional analysis. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.

[22] Zhang Q.: A Harnack inequalit for the equation $\nabla(a\nabla u) + b\nabla u = 0$, when $|b| \in K_{n+1}$. Manuscripta Math., Vol.89(1995)61-77.

[23] Zhang X.: L^p-maximal regularity of nonlocal parabolic equation and applications. http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.0816. To appear in Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincare Analyse non lineaire.

[24] Zhang X.: Well-posedness of fully nonlinear and nonlocal critical parabolic equations. http://arxiv.org/abs/1111.1874.

LONGJIE XIE: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN, HUBEI 430072, P.R.CHINA, EMAIL: XLJ.98@QQ.COM

XICHENG ZHANG: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN, HUBEI 430072, P.R.CHINA, EMAIL: XICHENGZHANG@GMAIL.COM